arXiv:1407.2783v3 [math.QA] 22 Jun 2016

TENSOR FUNCTORS BETWEEN MORITA DUALS OF FUSION CATEGORIES

CÉSAR GALINDO AND JULIA YAEL PLAVNIK

Abstract. Given a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, the fusion category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$-module endofunctors of $\mathcal{M}$ is called the (Morita) dual fusion category of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$. We describe tensor functors between two arbitrary duals $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{N}}$ in terms of data associated to $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. We apply the results to G-equivariantizations of fusion categories and group-theoretical fusion categories. We describe the orbits of the action of the Brauer-Picard group on the set of module categories and we propose a categorification of the Rosenberg-Zelinsky sequence for fusion categories.

1. Introduction

1. In this paper k will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. By a *fusion category* we mean a k-linear semisimple rigid tensor category with finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects and simple unit object $\mathbf{1}$. For further reading we recommend [1, 9].

The set of isomorphism classes of invertible objects of a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ forms a group with multiplication induced by the tensor product that we will denote by $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C})$. A fusion category is called *pointed* if all its simple objects are invertible. A pointed fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to Vec^{ω}_G, that is, the category of G-graded finite dimensional vector spaces, where $G = \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C})$ and the associativity constraint is given by a 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, k^*)$.

A very useful technique for the characterization of fusion categories is the categorical Morita equivalence, see the survey [30]. Given a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and an indecomposable left $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, the fusion category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is called the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05, 18D10.

Key words and phrases. Fusion categories, Brauer-Picard group, module categories, tensor functors.

C.Galindo would like to thank the hospitality of the Mathematics Departments at MIT where part of this work was carried out. This project began while J.Plavnik was at Universidad de Buenos Aires, and the support of that institution is gratefully acknowledged. C. Galindo was partially supported by the FAPA funds from vicerrectoria de investigaciones de la Universidad de los Andes. J Plavnik was partially supported by CONICET, ANPCyT and Secyt (UNC)..

(Morita/categorical) dual of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$. Important constructions in fusion category theory, such as the Drinfeld's center and G-equivariantization of fusion categories, can be seen as special cases of categorical duality. Also, fundamental examples of fusion categories, as group-theoretical [10], [32] and weakly group-theoretical fusion categories [12], are defined in terms of categorical duals.

For pointed fusion categories, there is a very simple and concrete description of tensor functors and tensor natural transformations using group cohomology and group homomorphisms. However, the description in group-theoretical terms of tensor functors and tensor natural transformations for group-theoretical fusion categories (Morita duals of pointed fusion categories) is not clear[1].

2. The goal of this paper is to describe functors between two arbitrary duals $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{N}}$ in terms of data associated to $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ and apply the results to group-theoretical fusion categories and equivariantizations.

Let $\mathfrak{Funct}$ be the category whose **objects** are pairs $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a fusion category and $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable left $\mathcal{C}$-module category, and **arrows** from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ are equivalence classes of monoidal functors from $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{N}}$. The **composition** of arrows is the equivalence class of the usual composition of monoidal functors.

With the notation above, the category of group-theoretical fusion categories and equivalence classes of functors between them is equivalent to the subcategory of $\mathfrak{Funct}$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$, with $\mathcal{C}$ a pointed fusion category [32].

For definitions of module category, bimodule category, tensor product of module categories, etc, see Section 2.

In order to describe $\mathfrak{Funct}$ in terms of data associated to $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, we introduce the category $\mathfrak{Cor}$:

- **Objects** are pairs $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a fusion category and $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable left semisimple $\mathcal{C}$-module category.
- **Arrows** from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ are equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category and $\alpha : \mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$ is an equivalence of left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. Two pairs $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$ represent the same arrow from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ if there exists a pair (ϕ, a), where $\phi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}'$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule equivalence and a is a natural isomorphism of left $\mathcal{C}$-module functors from α to $\alpha' \circ (\phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N})$,

[1]It corresponds to problem 10.1 http://aimpl.org/fusioncat/10/ posted by Shlomo Gelaki.

see the following diagram



If $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}))$ and $(\mathcal{P}, \beta) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}), (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T}))$ are arrows, the composition is

$$(\mathcal{S}, \alpha) \odot (\mathcal{P}, \beta) = (\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P}, \alpha \odot \beta) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T})),$$

where $\alpha \odot \beta$ is given by the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \odot \beta} & \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T}}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} \\ \mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathcal{P} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \beta} & \mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

Our first main result is an explicit description of tensor functors between categorical duals in terms of $\mathfrak{Cor}$.

Theorem 1.1. *The category $\mathfrak{Funct}$ is (contravariant) equivalent to the category $\mathfrak{Cor}$. Moreover, at the level of objects the equivalence is given by the identity.*

Remark 1.2. The categories $\mathfrak{Funct}$ and $\mathfrak{Cor}$ are truncations of bicategories. We expect that the category equivalence of Theorem 1.1 comes from a truncation of a biequivalence of bicategories.

Since every fusion category is dual to itself, the description of tensor functors between an arbitrary pair of Morita duals is very general, even includes the classification of tensor functors between any pair of fusion categories. However, Theorem 1.1 has nontrivial consequences (Theorem 1.4) and useful applications for specific cases (Theorem 5.11, Corollary 5.8). For example, using our main result, we get an implicit group-theoretical description of all tensor functors between group-theoretical fusion categories.

3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category. The group of equivalence classes of invertible $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule categories is called the *Brauer-Picard group* and is denoted $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$. The Brauer-Picard group of a fusion category is the fundamental group of a categorical 2-group denoted as $\underline{\underline{\mathrm{BrPic}}}$ that parametrizes the extensions of a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ by

finite groups, see [11]. Then, an interesting problem is to calculate explicitly the Brauer-Picard group of some concrete fusion categories. Some results of this type were obtained in [11], [19], [26], [31].

Our second main result are some applications of Theorem 1.1 to the Brauer-Picard group of a fusion category.

3.1 The first application is a categorification of the Rosenberg-Zelinsky exact sequence. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category and consider the abelian group of (isomorphism classes of) invertible objects $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ of the Drinfeld's center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$. For every $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ we have a group homomorphism

$$s : \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}).$$

For each $X \in \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$, we define $(s_X, \gamma) \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ in the following way. For $(X, c_{X,-}) \in \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$, the functor is given by $s_X(M) := X \otimes M$ and $\gamma_{V,M} : s_X(V \otimes M) \to V \otimes s_X(M)$, where $\gamma_{V,M} := c_{X,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_M$, for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Recall that by Theorem 1.1, there is an (contravariant) equivalence $\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{Funct} \to \mathfrak{Cor}$ that is the identity at the level of objects. Given an arrow $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Cor}$, we will denote by $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ the projection to the first component.

Theorem 1.3. *The sequence of groups*

$$1 \to \ker(s) \to \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \overset{\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\to} \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}) \overset{\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K}}{\to} \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}),$$

is exact.

For the case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$, the exact sequences of Theorem 1.3 can be rewritten as follows:

$$1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \to \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C}) \overset{\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{C}}}{\to} \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \overset{\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K}}{\to} \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The image of $\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ and is called the group of tensor outer-autoequivalences of $\mathcal{C}$, see [15]. The image of $\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}))$ and is called the group of inner-autoequivalence of $\mathcal{C}$.

Thus we have the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \to 1.$$

In case that $\mathcal{C}$ admits a braided structure the sequence is just an inclusion $\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$, see Corollary 6.1.

3.2 Since $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$, thus $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$-biset, see Subsection 6.2.

We define $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ as the set of equivalence classes of right $\mathcal{C}$-module categories $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ as fusion categories. Note that the sets $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ are right $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$-sets in a natural way.

By [11, Proposition 4.2] we have a map

$$\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}),$$

given by forgetting the left $\mathcal{C}$-module structure. This map factorize by the left action of $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$, thus we have a map

$$U : \mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Theorem 1.4. *(1) The map U induces a bijective map*

$$U : \mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}) / \mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}).$$

(2) $|\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})| = |\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})||\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})|$.

As an application of Theorem 1.4 we compute the Brauer-Picard group of some Tamabara-Yamagami categories and pointed fusion categories with non-trivial associator.

Also we present an algorithmic procedure to reduce the calculation of the Brauer-Picard group to compute $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ and some extra data that can be obtained using only a set of representatives of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$, see Section 6.2.1.

4. In the remainder of the paper we focus on equivariantizations of fusion categories and group-theoretical fusion categories. We provide a description of all tensor equivalences between equivariantizations of fusion categories. We also describe invertible bimodule categories and their tensor products over arbitrary pointed fusion categories. Our aim is to provide all necessary ingredients for the application of Theorem 1.1 to any concrete example of group-theoretical fusion categories.

5. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss preliminaries about module and bimodule categories over fusion categories. In Section 3 we recall some tensor equivalences related with some dual categories and the module structure induced by a tensor functor. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we give a characterization of tensor equivalences between dual categories in terms of certain data. We also study tensor functors between equivariantizations of fusion categories. In particular, we give a description of tensor equivalences of Drinfeld's centers of pointed fusion categories and we give an alternative proof to the classification of isocategorical groups. In Section 6 we show the exactness of the Rosenberg-Zelinsky sequence and we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. In Section 7 we recall some notions of the theory of G-sets and we study module categories over pointed categories and their tensor products. We use this to describe tensor functors between group-theoretical fusion categories. In Appendix 8 we give an explicit description of the 2-category of module categories over a pointed fusion category and some alternative proofs to some well known results about group-theoretical fusion categories.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Modules categories and Morita equivalence.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category over k. A *module category* over $\mathcal{C}$ is a semisimple category $\mathcal{M}$ together with a biexact functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ satisfying natural associativity and unit axioms. We refer the reader to [33] for more details on module categories, module functors and their natural transformations.

A module category $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ is called *indecomposable* if it is not equivalent to a nontrivial direct sum of module categories.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be left (respectively, right) module categories over $\mathcal{C}$. We will denote by $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M}, .\mathcal{N})$ (respectively, $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}., \mathcal{N}.)$) the category whose objects are $\mathcal{C}$-module functors from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ and whose morphisms are natural module transformations between these functors. If it is necessary we will use dots in order to specify if the category of functors is with respect to a left or a right structure. In the particular case when $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$, we will use the notation $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M}) := \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M}, .\mathcal{M})$. It follows from [10, Theorem 2.15] that $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a fusion category when $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a left (respectively right) module category over $\mathcal{C}$. The *(Morita) dual category* $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ is the tensor category $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M})$ (respectively $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}.)$).

Two fusion categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are *Morita equivalent* if there exists an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong_{\otimes} (\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}})$. Recall that the opposite tensor category $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$ of a given tensor category $\mathcal{D}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{D}$ reversing the tensor product.

2.2. **Bimodules categories.** Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be fusion categories. A $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-*bimodule category* is both, a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category and a right $\mathcal{D}$-module category such that these two actions are compatible. Equivalently, a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category is a module category over $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$, where $\boxtimes$ is the Deligne tensor product of abelian categories, see [5] for a precise definition of Deligne tensor product.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category then its opposite category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule category with actions $\odot$ given by $X \odot M = M \otimes X^*$ and $M \odot Y = Y^* \otimes M$, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}, Y \in \mathcal{D}, M \in \mathcal{M}$, see [11, subsection 2.9].

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be left and right $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, respectively, the tensor product $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$ was defined in [11].

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a right $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$-bimodule category, $\mathcal{N}$ a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category, and $\mathcal{P}$ a left $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{Q})$-module category. Then, by [18, Proposition 3.15], there is a canonical equivalence $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P})$ of $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{Q})$-bimodule categories. Hence we can use the notation $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P}$ without any ambiguity.

A $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category $\mathcal{M}$ is *invertible* if there exist bimodule equivalences

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathcal{C}.$$

The *Brauer-Picard group* $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$ of a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of equivalence classes of invertible $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule categories with product given by $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}$, see [11].

2.3. **The Drinfeld center of a fusion category.** We will recall the definition of the *Drinfeld center* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, see [23, Chapter XIII] for more details. The objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are pairs $(Y, c_{-,Y})$, where $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$ are isomorphisms natural in X satisfying $c_{X\otimes Y,Z} = (c_{X,Z} \otimes \mathrm{id}_Y)(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes c_{Y,Z})$ and $c_{I,Y} = \mathrm{id}_Y$, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$. A morphism $f : (X, c_{-,X}) \to (X, c_{-,X})$ is a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(f \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)c_{W,X} = c_{W,Y}(\mathrm{id}_W \otimes f)$ for all $W \in \mathcal{C}$.

The center is a braided monoidal category with structure given as follows:

- the tensor product is $(Y, c_{-,Y}) \otimes (Z, c_{-,Z}) = (Y \otimes Z, c_{-,Y\otimes Z})$, where
$$c_{X,Y\otimes Z} = (\mathrm{id}_Y \otimes c_{X,Z})(c_{X,Y} \otimes \mathrm{id}_Z) : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \to Y \otimes Z \otimes X,$$
for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$,
- the identity element is $(I, c_{-,I})$, $c_{Z,I} = \mathrm{id}_Z$
- the braiding is given by the morphism $c_{X,Y}$.

The Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is a non-degenerate braided fusion category, see [7, Corollary 3.9].

3. Morita equivalence of fusion categories revised

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category and X be an object in $\mathcal{C}$. Left multiplication by X gives rise to a right $\mathcal{D}$-module endofunctor of $\mathcal{S}$ that we will denote $L(X)$. Thus we have a tensor functor

$$L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}.), \quad X \mapsto L(X).$$

Conversely, each tensor functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}.)$ defines a unique $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category structure on $\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 3.1. It was proved in [11, Proposition 4.2] that $\mathcal{S}$ is an invertible $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category if and only if the fuctor L is a tensor equivalence.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. We will consider $\mathcal{M}$ as a left $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$-module category with action given by

$$F \odot M = F(M),$$

for $F \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}), M \in \mathcal{M}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C})$ the 2-category of right $\mathcal{C}$-module categories and by $\mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))$ the 2-category of left $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$-module categories.

We define the 2-functor $\mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})) \to \mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C})$ as

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}).$$

Notice that $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$ is indeed a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category because the left actions of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ commute, and the right action is given by

$$(F \odot X)(M) = F(X \otimes M),$$

for all $F \in \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}), X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

We also define the 2-functor $\mathfrak{L} : \mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))$ by

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M},$$

for all $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C})$. Since the left actions of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathcal{M}$ commute in a coherent way, $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{N})$ is a left $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$-module category.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. There is an equivalence of left $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$-module categories given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon : \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} &\to \mathcal{S} \\ F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} M &\mapsto F(M), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))$, $M \in \mathcal{M}, F \in \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$.

Proof. The 2-functors $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$ introduced above are adjoint 2-functors. The unit of the adjunction is the natural 2-transformation $\eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C})} \to \mathfrak{R} \circ \mathfrak{L}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \mathcal{N} &\to \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}) \\ N &\mapsto (M \mapsto N \boxtimes M) \end{aligned}$$

and the counit of the adjunction is the natural 2-transformation $\varepsilon : \mathfrak{L} \circ \mathfrak{R} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon : \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} &\to \mathcal{S} \\ F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} M &\mapsto F(M), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))$, $N \in \mathcal{N}, M \in \mathcal{M}, F \in \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$.

Etingof and Ostrik proved that the 2-functor $\mathfrak{R}$ is an equivalence of 2-categories [13]. Therefore, its left adjoint $\mathfrak{L}$ is also an equivalence of 2-categories and the unit η and the counit ε of the adjunction are equivalences of module categories. □

An important fact used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 is that the 2-functor $\mathfrak{R}$ is an equivalence of 2-categories [13]. Some useful results follow from it.

Remark 3.3. (1) Looking at the equivalence $\mathfrak{R}$ at the level of morphisms, we get that for each $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))$ there is a canonical tensor equivalence

$$\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M})}(.\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M})}(.\mathcal{M}, .\mathcal{S}).)$$
$$F \mapsto (G \mapsto F \circ G).$$

(2) If we fix a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{N}$, the 2-functor

$$\mathcal{M}. \mapsto \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}., \mathcal{M}.)$$

defines a 2-equivalence between the 2-category $\mathfrak{M}_r(\mathcal{C})$ and the 2-category $\mathfrak{M}_l(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}))$. In particular, we have a natural tensor equivalence

$$\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}.)}(.\,\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}., \mathcal{M}.)) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}.). \tag{3.1}$$

3.1. **Duality between tensor functors.** In this subsection we collect some definitions and results from [10] that will be useful later.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be fusion categories and $(F, F^0) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a tensor functor between them. Any $\mathcal{D}$-module category $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, \alpha)$ has also a $\mathcal{C}$-module structure induced by the tensor functor F that we denote $(\mathcal{M}^F, \overset{F}{\otimes}, \alpha^F)$. Here $\mathcal{M}^F = \mathcal{M}$ as abelian category, the left action is defined by $V \overset{F}{\otimes} M := F(V) \otimes M$ and the associativity constraint is given by

$$\alpha^F_{V,W,M} := \alpha_{F(V),F(W),M} \circ (F^0_{V,W} \otimes \mathrm{id}_M) : (V \otimes W) \overset{F}{\otimes} M \to V \overset{F}{\otimes} (W \overset{F}{\otimes} M),$$

for all $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}^F$.

There is also an associated dual tensor functor $F^* : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}^F)$. The dual functor F^* is defined in the following way: given a $\mathcal{D}$-module endofunctor $(T, c) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, we set

$$F^*(T) = T \text{ and } F^*(c)_{V,M} = c_{F(V),M},$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}^F$.

Remark 3.4. Let $F_1, F_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be tensor functors and let $\theta : F_1 \xRightarrow{\sim} F_2$ be a monoidal natural isomorphism.

(1) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$-module category, the natural transformation θ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories $\widetilde{\theta} = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\theta}) : \mathcal{M}^{F_1} \to \mathcal{M}^{F_2}$, where $\overline{\theta}_{X,M} = \theta_X \otimes \mathrm{id}_M$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

(2) It is easy to see that $(F^*)^* = F$ as tensor functors and $(\mathcal{M}^F)^{F^*} \cong \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{D}$-module categories.

Now, we introduce a tensor equivalence between dual categories that will be useful later.

Definition 3.5. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ be an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, with quasi-inverse $\alpha^* : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphism $\Delta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}} \to \alpha \circ \alpha^*$.

The functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_\alpha : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) &\to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}) \\ F &\mapsto \alpha \circ F \circ \alpha^* \end{aligned}$$

defines a tensor equivalence with structural natural isomorphism

$$c_{F,G} = \alpha \circ F(\Delta_{G(-)}) : \mathrm{ad}_\alpha(F \circ G) \to \mathrm{ad}_\alpha(F) \circ \mathrm{ad}_\alpha(G).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is consequence of several lemmas. First we prove that $\mathfrak{Cor}$ is a category. After that we define a contravariant functor $\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{Funct} \to \mathfrak{Cor}$ that at the level of objects is the identity. Finally, we prove that $\mathcal{K}$ is faithful and full, and by [25, Theorem 1, p.91] an equivalence.

The following lemma shows that $\mathfrak{Cor}$ is in fact a category.

Lemma 4.1. *The relation described in (3) in the definition of the category* $\mathfrak{Cor}$ *is an equivalence relation. The composition of arrows in* $\mathfrak{Cor}$ *does not depend on the representative of the equivalence class chosen. Moreover, the composition is associative.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$, $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$ and $(\mathcal{S}'', \alpha'')$ be arrows from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ in the category $\mathfrak{Cor}$.

A straightforward calculation shows that the relation described above between the arrows is reflexive and symmetric.

The relation is also transitive and, therefore, it is an equivalence relation. In fact, if $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ is related to $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$ via (ϕ, a) and $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$ is related to $(\mathcal{S}'', \alpha'')$ via (ϕ', a') then $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ is related to $(\mathcal{S}'', \alpha'')$ via the equivalence $\phi' \circ \phi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}''$ and the natural isomorphism $a' \circ a$ from α to $\alpha'' \circ (\phi' \circ \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}})$.

Now, we will show that the composition is well defined. Let $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$ be arrows from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ in $\mathfrak{Cor}$ related by the pair (ϕ, a). Let $(\mathcal{P}, \beta)$ and $(\mathcal{P}', \beta')$ be arrows from $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ to $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T})$ in $\mathfrak{Cor}$ related by the pair (φ, b). Remember that the notation $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P}$ will yield no ambiguity, see Remark 2.1. Then we may assume that the associativity 1-isomorphism is the identity.

We want to see that $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha) \odot (\mathcal{P}, \beta) = (\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P}, \alpha \odot \beta)$ and $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha') \odot (\mathcal{P}, \beta) = (\mathcal{S}' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{P}, \alpha' \odot \beta)$ are related arrows from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T})$ in $\mathfrak{Cor}$. Clearly, $\phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}} :$

$\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}\to\mathcal{S}'\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}$ is an equivalence of $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{Q})$-bimodules. In addition, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha^{'}\odot t)\circ(\phi\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}}) &= \alpha^{'}\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}'}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}t)\circ(\phi\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{T}})\\ &= \alpha^{'}\circ(\phi\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}t)\\ &\cong \alpha\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}t)\\ &= (\alpha\odot t).\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, it is possible to show that $(\mathcal{S},\alpha)\odot(\mathcal{P},t)=(\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P},\alpha\odot t)$ and $(\mathcal{S},\alpha)\odot(\mathcal{P}',t')=(\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}',\alpha\odot t')$ are related arrows from $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T})$ in $\mathfrak{Cor}$. Then the composition of arrows is a well defined operation.

Now, we will prove that the composition is associative. Let $(\mathcal{S},\alpha):(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})\to(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N})$, $(\mathcal{P},\beta):(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N})\to(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T})$ and $(\mathcal{R},\gamma):(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T})\to(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{Q})$ be arrows in $\mathfrak{Cor}$. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned}((\mathcal{S},\alpha)\odot(\mathcal{P},\beta))\odot(\mathcal{R},\gamma) &= (\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{R},(\alpha\odot\beta)\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\gamma))\\ &= (\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{R},\alpha\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\beta)\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\gamma))\\ &= (\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{P}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{R},\alpha\odot(\beta\circ(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}\gamma)))\\ &= (\mathcal{S},\alpha)\odot((\mathcal{P},\beta)\odot(\mathcal{R},\gamma)),\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted.

Then we have shown that $\mathfrak{Cor}$ is in fact a category. □

We may assume without loss of generality that every fusion category and every module category are simultaneously strict [14, Proposition 2.2].

Let $F\in\mathfrak{Funct}((\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N}),(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M}))$, that is, $F:\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N})\to\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a tensor functor. The category

$$\mathcal{S}_F:=\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M}^F),$$

is a $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category with left $\mathcal{C}$-action given by

$$\begin{aligned}\odot:\mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{S}_F&\to\mathcal{S}_F\\ (X,G)&\mapsto(X\odot G)(N)=X\otimes G(N),\end{aligned}$$

and right $\mathcal{D}$-action given by

$$\begin{aligned}\odot:\mathcal{S}_F\times\mathcal{D}&\to\mathcal{S}_F\\ (G,Y)&\mapsto(G\odot Y)(N)=G(Y\otimes N).\end{aligned}$$

For both actions the associativity morphisms are induced by the associativity constraint of the fusion categories acting on $\mathcal{S}_F$. Then, since we have assumed that the fusion categories are strict, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_F$ is a right and left strict module category over $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively.

We begin checking that the right and left operations defined above are actually a right $\mathcal{D}$-action and a left $\mathcal{C}$-action on $\mathcal{S}_F$. Notice that if $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $G \in \mathcal{S}_F$ then $X \odot G$ is also in $\mathcal{S}_F = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N})}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}^F)$. In fact, for $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{F}{\varphi\otimes}((X \odot G)(N)) &= F(\varphi) \otimes (X \otimes G(N)) \\
&= F(\varphi)((X \otimes G(N))) \\
&\cong X \otimes F(\varphi)(G(N)) \\
&= (X \otimes (\overset{F}{\varphi\otimes} G(N)) \\
&= (X \odot G)(\varphi \otimes N).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, if $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ then

$$(X\odot(Y\odot G))(N) = X\otimes(Y\odot G)(N) = X\otimes(Y\otimes G(N)) \cong (X\otimes Y)\otimes G(N) = ((X\otimes Y)\odot G)(N).$$

It is clear that the unit of $\mathcal{C}$ is well behaved with respect to $\odot$.

In a similar way, it can be shown that in the remaining case the operation is a right $\mathcal{D}$-action on $\mathcal{S}_F$.

It is easy to see that these actions are compatible. In other words, the category $\mathcal{S}_F$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category.

By Theorem 3.2, the evaluation functor

$$\varepsilon : \mathcal{S}_F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$$

is an equivalence of left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. Then we define

$$\mathcal{K}(F) := (\mathcal{S}_F, \varepsilon) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})).$$

The pair $(\mathcal{S}_F, \varepsilon)$ does not depend on the equivalence class of F, that is, if $G : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a monoidal functor equivalent to F then the arrows $(\mathcal{S}_F, \varepsilon)$ and $(\mathcal{S}_G, \varepsilon)$ are related in $\mathfrak{Cor}$. In fact, it follows from Remark 3.4 (1) that the equivalence $F \cong G$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{M}^F \cong \mathcal{M}^G$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_F$ and $\mathcal{S}_G$ are equivalent as $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodules categories. The equivalence is given by the identity functor equipped with some natural isomorphism and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}_F} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}})$.

In this way, we have defined an assignment $\mathcal{K}$ that sends an arrow $F \in \mathfrak{Funct}((\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}))$ to an arrow $(\mathcal{S}_F, \varepsilon) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}))$. We also define $\mathcal{K}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})) := (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathfrak{Cor}$, for an object $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathfrak{Funct}$.

Lemma 4.2. *$\mathcal{K}$ is a contravariant functor.*

Proof. Let $F : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ and let $G : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{L})$ be tensor functors and let $G \circ F : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{L})$ be their composition. Consider

the corresponding objects in $\mathfrak{Cor}$ associated to these three functors by $\mathcal{K}$, $(\mathcal{S}_F = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N})}(.\mathcal{N}, .\mathcal{M}^F), \varepsilon)$, $(\mathcal{S}_G = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M})}(.\mathcal{M}, .\mathcal{L}^G), \varepsilon)$ and $(\mathcal{S}_{G\circ F} = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N})}(.\mathcal{N}, .\mathcal{L}^{G\circ F}), \varepsilon)$.

The composition induces a $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule functor

$$\phi : \mathcal{S}_G \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_F \to \mathcal{S}_{G\circ F}.$$

The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_G \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}_G} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \varepsilon} & \mathcal{S}_G \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon} \\ \mathcal{S}_{G\circ F} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathcal{L} \end{array}$$

implies that $\phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}$ is an equivalence, since the evaluation maps ε are equivalences, by Theorem 3.2.

It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2 that the functor $\mathfrak{L} = (-) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}$ defines an equivalence of 2-categories. Then, ϕ is an equivalence of $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule categories. Thus, $\mathcal{K}(G \circ F)$ and $\mathcal{K}(G) \odot \mathcal{K}(F)$ are related arrows in $\mathfrak{Cor}$ by the pair $(\phi : \mathcal{S}_G \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_F \to \mathcal{S}_{G\circ F}, \varepsilon)$. Therefore $\mathcal{K}$ is a contravariant functor, as we asserted. □

Lemma 4.3. *The functor $\mathcal{K}$ is faithful and full.*

Proof. Given $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}))$, there is a functor associated to it (in a similar way as in Subsection 3):

$$L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}.).$$

By the equivalence (3.1), we can regard it as a functor

$$L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N})}(.\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}).$$

Dualizing L, we obtain

$$L^* : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.(\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N})^L).$$

Now, using the functor ad_α introduced in Definition 3.5, we define the functor

$$\mathcal{K}^{-1}((S, \alpha)) := \mathrm{ad}_\alpha \circ L^* : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M}).$$

An explicit description of the previous correspondence is the following. Given $(S, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Cor}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N}))$, the tensor functor associated is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{-1}((S, \alpha)) : \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N}) &\to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M}) \\ F &\mapsto \mathrm{ad}_\alpha(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{S}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} F). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by construction these two assignations are mutually inverse.

Now we will see that $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ is well defined. If we have two equivalent arrows $(\mathcal{S},\alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{S}',\alpha')$ related by the pair (ϕ,a) in $\mathfrak{Cor}$, then the diagram of tensor functors



commutes up to a natural tensor isomorphism. Since $\mathrm{ad}_{\phi\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}}$ is a tensor equivalence, the tensor functors associated to $(\mathcal{S},\alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{S}',\alpha,)$ are tensor isomorphic, and $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ is well defined. □

Recall that a functor is an equivalence if and only it is faithful, full and essentially surjective [25, Theorem 1, p.91]. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is the identity at the level of objects it is essentially surjective. By by Lemma 4.3 $\mathcal{K}$ is faithful and full. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is an equivalence of categories. The previous discussion gives a proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Tensor equivalences between duals of fusion categories

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a fusion category and $\mathcal{N}$ be a left $\mathcal{D}$-module category. Our first goal is to describe only in terms of data associated to $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ all possible pairs $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})\in\mathfrak{Funct}$ such that $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}\cong\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{N}}$ as fusion categories. The next proposition gives a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of the data of $\mathfrak{Cor}$ to say when a functor between categorical duals is a tensor equivalence.

Proposition 5.1. *A tensor functor $F:\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N})\to\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is an equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{S}_F=\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(.\mathcal{N})}(.\mathcal{N},.\mathcal{M}^F)$ is an invertible $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category.*

Proof. If F is an equivalence and we denote by F^* a quasi-inverse, we have that $\mathcal{K}(F\circ F^*)=\mathcal{K}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})})$. Then, the functoriality of $\mathcal{K}$ implies that $\mathcal{S}_F\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{S}_{F^{-1}}\cong\mathcal{C}$ as bimodule categories. Thus, by [11, Proposition 4.2], $\mathcal{S}_F$ is an invertible $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$-bimodule category.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{S}_F$ is invertible the functor L, defined in the proof of Lemma 4.3, is an equivalence. Then $F\cong\mathcal{K}^{-1}((\mathcal{S}_F,\varepsilon))=\mathrm{ad}_{\alpha}\circ L^*$ is a tensor equivalence. □

Let $(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N})$ be an object in the category $\mathfrak{Funct}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be an indecomposable right $\mathcal{D}$-module category. We will call *data* a triple $(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N},\mathcal{S})$ as above.

We can canonically associate to these data an equivalent object to $(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N})$ in $\mathfrak{Funct}$. We construct the pair in the following way. Consider the dual fusion category of $\mathcal{D}$ with

respect to $\mathcal{S}$, that is $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S})$. Notice that $\mathcal{S}$ is an $(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category and, by [11, Proposition 4.2], it is invertible. Moreover, the left $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S})$-module category $\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}$ is indecomposable. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that $(\mathcal{S}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}})$ is an equivalence in $\mathfrak{Cor}$. Then, by Theorem 1.1, we have an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}}^{*} \cong (\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}))_{\mathcal{S}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}}^{*}$ in $\mathfrak{Funct}$ as we wanted.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$ be an object in $\mathfrak{Funct}$. Any other pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ in $\mathfrak{Funct}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}}^{*} \cong \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^{*}$ can be obtained from data as above. In other words, there exists a indecomposable right $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{S}$ and a tensor equivalence $F : \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}^{*} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{F} \cong \mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}$ as left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}^{*}$-module categories.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ be an object in $\mathfrak{Funct}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{N}}^{*} \cong \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^{*}$ via the functor G. By Theorem 1.1, the pair $(\mathcal{S}_G, \varepsilon)$ in $\mathfrak{Cor}$ is an equivalence from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{N})$. In view of Proposition 5.1, $\mathcal{S}_G$ is invertible as $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule. Then, by [11, Proposition 4.2], the functor of left multiplication $L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ is an equivalence. In this way, we get an equivalence $F := L^{-1} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}_G}^{*} \to \mathcal{C}$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}^{F} \cong \mathcal{S}_G \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}$ as left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}_G}^{*}$-module categories, see Subsection 3.1. □

5.1. **Functors between equivariantizations of fusion categories.**

5.1.1. *Equivariant fusion categories.* Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a category (respectively $\mathcal{C}$ a monoidal category). We will denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})}$ (respectively $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$) the monoidal category where objects are auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{M}$ (respectively tensor auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$), arrows are natural isomorphisms (respectively tensor natural isomorphisms) and the tensor product is the composition of functors.

An *action* of a finite group G on $\mathcal{M}$ (respectively $\mathcal{C}$) is a monoidal functor $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})}$ (respectively $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$), where $\underline{G}$ denote the discrete monoidal category. Recall that objects in $\underline{G}$ are elements of G and the tensor product is given by the product of G.

Let G be a group acting on $\mathcal{M}$ (respectively $\mathcal{C}$) with action $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})}$ (respectively $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$), thus we have the following data

- functors $\sigma_* : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ (respectively, tensor functor $\sigma_* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$), for each $\sigma \in G$,
- natural isomorphism (respectively, natural tensor isomorphisms) $\phi(\sigma, \tau) : (\sigma\tau)_* \to \sigma_* \circ \tau_*$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Notice that an action of a finite group G on a category $\mathcal{M}$ is exactly the same as a Vec_G-module structure over $\mathcal{M}$ (see Appendix for more details).

Example 5.3. Let G and F be finite groups. Given $\omega \in Z^3(F, k^*)$, an action of G on Vec_F^ω is determined by a homomorphism $* : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ and maps

$$\gamma : G \times F \times F \to k^*$$
$$\mu : G \times G \times F \to k^*$$

such that

$$\frac{\omega(a,b,c)}{\omega(\sigma_*(a),\sigma_*(b),\sigma_*(c))} = \frac{\mu(\sigma;b,c)\mu(\sigma;a,bc)}{\mu(\sigma;ab,c)\mu(\sigma;a,b)},$$
$$\frac{\mu(\sigma;\tau_*(a),\tau_*(b))\mu(\tau;a,b)}{\mu(\sigma\tau;a,b)} = \frac{\gamma(\sigma,\tau;ab)}{\gamma(\sigma,\tau;a)\gamma(\sigma,\tau;b)},$$
$$\gamma(\sigma\tau,\rho;a)\gamma(\sigma,\tau;\rho_*(a)) = \gamma(\tau,\rho;a)\gamma(\sigma,\tau\rho;a),$$

for all $a, b, c \in F, \sigma, \tau, \rho \in G$.

The action is defined as follows: for each $\sigma \in G$, the associated tensor functor σ_* is given by $\sigma_*(k_a) := k_{\sigma_*(a)}$, constraint $\psi(\sigma)_{a,b} = \gamma(\sigma;a,b)\,\mathrm{id}_{k_{ab}}$ and the tensor natural isomorphism is

$$\phi(\sigma,\tau)_{k_a} = \mu(\sigma,\tau;a)\,\mathrm{id}_{k_a},$$

for each pair $\sigma, \tau \in G, a \in F$.

Given an action $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ of a finite group G on $\mathcal{C}$, the *G-equivariantization* of $\mathcal{C}$ is the category denoted by $\mathcal{C}^G$ and defined as follows. An object in $\mathcal{C}^G$ is a pair (V, f), where V is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and f is a family of isomorphisms $f_\sigma : \sigma_*(V) \to V$, $\sigma \in G$, such that

$$\phi(\sigma,\tau)f_{\sigma\tau} = f_\sigma \sigma_*(f_\tau), \tag{5.1}$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$. A G-equivariant morphism $\phi : (V, f) \to (W, g)$ between G-equivariant objects is a morphism $u : V \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $g_\sigma \circ \sigma_*(u) = u \circ f_\sigma$, for all $\sigma \in G$.

Note that for the definition of $\mathcal{C}^G$ is not necessary a monoidal structure over $\mathcal{C}$. If the category $\mathcal{C}$ is a fusion category and the action of G is by tensor autoequivalences $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$, then we have natural isomorphisms

$$\psi(\sigma)_{V,W} : \sigma_*(V) \otimes \sigma_*(W) \to \sigma_*(V \otimes W),$$

for all $\sigma \in G$, $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}^G$ is a fusion category with tensor product defined by

$$(V, f) \otimes (W, g) := (V \otimes W, h),$$

where

$$h_\sigma = u_\sigma v_\sigma \psi(\sigma)^{-1}_{V,W},$$

and unit object $(\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}})$.

Example 5.4. (1) If a finite group G is acting on Vec trivially, then $\mathrm{Vec}^G = \mathrm{Rep}(G)$.

(2) Given $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$, the finite group G acts by conjugation on Vec_G^ω via maps

$$\mu(\sigma,\tau;\rho) := \frac{\omega(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1},\tau,\rho)}{\omega(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1},\sigma\rho\sigma^{-1},\sigma)\omega(\sigma,\tau,\rho)},$$
$$\gamma(\sigma;\tau,\rho) := \frac{\omega(\sigma,\tau,\rho)\omega(\sigma\tau\rho(\sigma\tau)^{-1},\sigma,\tau)}{\omega(\sigma,\tau\rho\tau^{-1},\tau)},$$

for all σ, τ, $\rho \in G$ (see Example 5.3). In this case, the equivariantization $(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)^G = \mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ is the Drinfeld' center of Vec_G^ω or, equivalently, the category of representations of the twisted Drinfeld double of G, see [6].

5.2. **The semi-direct product and their module categories.** Given an action $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ of G on $\mathcal{C}$, another fusion category associated to it is the *semi-direct product* fusion category, denoted by $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ and defined as follows. As an abelian category $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, where $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C}$. The tensor product is given by

$$[X,\sigma] \otimes [Y,\tau] := [X \otimes \sigma_*(Y), \sigma\tau], \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \sigma,\tau \in G,$$

and the unit object is $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{e}]$. See [35] for the associativity constraint.

Now, we will recall the notion of equivariant module categories [12]. We will use the approach given in [14].

Let G be a group and $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category equipped with an action $*$ of G. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$. We define the G-graded monoidal category $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\mathcal{C}^G(\mathcal{M})}$ of G-invariant autoequivalences of $\mathcal{M}$ in the following way. The objects are pairs $(\sigma, (T, c))$, where $\sigma \in G$, and $(T, c) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}^{\sigma_*}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module equivalence. If $(\sigma, (T, c)), (\tau, (U, d)) \in \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\mathcal{C}^G(\mathcal{M})}$, then $(\sigma\tau, (T \circ U, b)) \in \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\mathcal{C}^G(\mathcal{M})}$, where

$$b_{X,M} = ((\gamma_{\sigma,\tau})_X \otimes \mathrm{id}_{T\circ U(M)}) c_{\tau_*(X),U(M)} T(d_{X,M}), \tag{5.2}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$. The arrows of $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\mathcal{C}^G(\mathcal{M})}$ are just natural isomorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

Definition 5.5. A *G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category* is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a G-graded monoidal functor $(\Phi, \mu) : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\mathcal{C}^G(\mathcal{M})}$.

Example 5.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category with an action of G given by data $\{(\sigma_*, \psi(\sigma), \phi(\sigma,\tau))\}_{\sigma,\tau\in G}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a G-equivariant module category over itself, where $\Phi(\sigma) = (\sigma_*, \psi(\sigma))$ and $\mu_{\sigma,\tau} = \phi(\sigma,\tau)$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Given a G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{S}$ we define the fusion category $\mathcal{E}nd_\mathcal{C}^G(\mathcal{S})$ of G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-endofunctor of $\mathcal{S}$ as follows. Objects are pairs (L, η), where $L : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$

is a $\mathcal{C}$-module endofunctor and $\eta(\sigma) : L \circ \sigma_* \to \sigma_* \circ L$ are natural isomorphisms such that

$$\phi(\sigma,\tau)_{L(X)} \circ \eta(\sigma\tau)_X = \sigma_*(\eta(\tau)_X) \circ \eta(\sigma)_{\tau_*(X)} \circ L(\phi(\sigma,\tau)_X),$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G, X \in \mathcal{C}$. The arrows and composition in $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$ are defined in the obvious way.

Remark 5.7. (1) A G-equivariant module category over $\mathcal{C}$ is the same as a $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category, [14, Proposition 5.12]. Explicitly, if $\mathcal{S}$ is a G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category then $\mathcal{S}$ is a $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module, with action $\otimes : (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G) \times \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ given by $[X, \sigma] \otimes M = X \otimes \sigma_*(M)$, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\sigma \in G$ and $M \in \mathcal{S}$.
(2) The fusion category $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$ is canonically equivalent to $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}\rtimes G}(\mathcal{S})$.
(3) Since $\mathcal{S}^G \cong \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}\rtimes G}(\mathcal{C}, S)$ then $\mathcal{S}^G$ has a canonical structure of $\mathcal{C}^G$-$\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S})$-bimodule category.

Applying Theorem 5.2 to the description of the Drinfeld's center of a pointed fusion category as an equivariantization (see Example 5.4) we have the following result that could be seen as a generalization of [28, Corollary 1.5].

Corollary 5.8. *Let G and H be finite groups and $\omega_G \in H^3(G, k^*), \omega_H \in H^3(H, k^*)$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^{\omega_G}) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_H^{\omega_H})$ as tensor categories (not necessarily as braided categories) if and only if there is a pointed G-equivariant $\mathrm{Vec}_G^{\omega_G}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ and a tensor equivalence $\Phi : (\mathrm{Vec}_H^{\omega_H} \rtimes H)^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{Vec}_{H^{op}}^{\omega_H^{op}} \rtimes H^{op} \to \mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^{\omega_G}}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\mathrm{Vec}_{H^{op}}^{\omega_H^{op}} \cong \left(\mathcal{M}^G\right)^{\Phi}$ as H^{op}-equivariant $\mathrm{Vec}_{H^{op}}^{\omega_H^{op}}$-module categories.*

5.3. **Tensor equivalent equivariant fusion categories.** Recall that the category $\mathcal{C}$ has a canonical $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module structure, by Example 5.6 and Remark 5.7. The categories $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ and $\mathcal{C}^G$ are Morita equivalent since $(\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)^*_{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{C}^G$ by [29, Proposition 3.2].

Combining Theorem 1.1 and [29, Proposition 3.2] we get:

Corollary 5.9. *Tensor functors between equivariantizations of fusion categories under the action of a finite group are in correspondence with the arrows of the subcategory of $\mathfrak{Cor}$ whose objects are of the form $(\mathcal{C} \rtimes G, \mathcal{C})$, where G is a finite group acting on a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$.*

□

Let G be a finite group and $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category. We will say that $\mathcal{C}$ is G-graded if there is a decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{x\in G}\mathcal{C}_x$ of $\mathcal{C}$ into a direct sum of full abelian subcategories such that the bifunctor $\otimes$ maps $\mathcal{C}_x \times \mathcal{C}_y$ to $\mathcal{C}_{xy}$, for all $\sigma, x \in G$. See [10] for more details.

Before presenting the main result of this section, we need a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.10. [16, Corollary 6.4] *Let G be a finite group and $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{x\in G}\mathcal{C}_x$ be a G-graded fusion category. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category which remains*

indecomposable as a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category. Then every $\mathcal{C}_x$ contains an invertible object and $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})^{G^{op}}$, that is, $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a G^{op}-equivariantization of $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$.

□

Theorem 5.11. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category, G and H be finite groups and $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$ be an action of G on $\mathcal{C}$.*

1. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an indecomposable G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category and let $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S}) = \bigoplus_{h\in H^{op}} \mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_h$ be a faithfully H^{op}-grading such that $\mathcal{S}^G$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_e$ as $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_e$-module categories. Then $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})^*_{\mathcal{S}^G} \cong \left(\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_e\right)^H$, that is, $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})^*_{\mathcal{S}^G}$ is an H-equivariantization, and $\left(\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_e\right)^H \cong \mathcal{C}^G$ as fusion categories.*
2. *Conversely, for every fusion category of the form $\mathcal{D}^H$ that is tensor equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^G$ there exists a G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{S}$ and a faithful H^{op}-grading in $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_e \cong \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^H \cong \left(\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})_e\right)^H$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}^G$ is an invertible $(\mathcal{C}^G, \mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S}))$-bimodule category the left action defines a tensor equivalence $L : \mathcal{C}^G \to \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathcal{S}^G.)$, by [11, Proposition 4.2]. Then, Lemma 5.10 implies that $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathcal{S}^G.)$ is an H-equivariantization of $\left(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{S}^G)_{\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})}\right)_e$.

Conversely, let G and H be finite groups acting on fusion categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ respectively. Since $\mathcal{C}^G \cong (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)^*_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^H \cong (\mathcal{D} \rtimes H)^*_{\mathcal{D}}$, if $\mathcal{C}^G$ and $\mathcal{D}^H$ are tensor equivalent, by Theorem 1.1, there is an invertible $(\mathcal{D} \rtimes H, \mathcal{C} \rtimes G)$-bimodule category $\mathcal{S}^\dagger$ such that $\mathcal{S}^\dagger \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}\rtimes G} \mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{D}$ as $\mathcal{D} \rtimes H$-module categories. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is an invertible $(\mathcal{C} \rtimes G, \mathcal{C}^G)$-bimodule category, by [35, Theorem 4.1]. If we define $S := (\mathcal{S}^\dagger)^{op}$ as left $(\mathcal{C} \rtimes G\text{-}\mathcal{D} \rtimes H)$-module category, thus the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} &\cong \mathcal{S}^\dagger \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}\rtimes G} \mathcal{C}\\ &\cong \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}\rtimes G}(.\mathcal{S}, .\mathcal{C})\\ &\cong \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}\rtimes G}(.\mathcal{C}, .\mathcal{S})^{op}\\ &\cong (\mathcal{S}^G)^{op}\end{aligned}$$

imply that $\mathcal{S}^G$ is an invertible $(\mathcal{C}^G, \mathcal{D} \rtimes H)$-bimodule category with $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{D}$ as right $\mathcal{D} \rtimes H$-module categories. Using the bimodule category structure, we have a tensor equivalence $R : (\mathcal{D} \rtimes H)^{\mathrm{op}} \to (\mathcal{C}^G)^*_{\mathcal{S}^G} \cong_{\otimes} \mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$. Then $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$ has an H^{op}-grading and $\mathcal{S}^G \cong \mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S})_e$ as left $\mathcal{E}nd^G_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{S})_e$-module categories. □

5.3.1. *Example: Isocategorical groups.* Two finite groups G and H are called *isocategorical* if their categories of representations are tensor equivalent [8]. We finish this

section with a reformulation of the classification of isocategorical groups, see [8], [4], [20].

Using Theorem 5.2 we can give an alternative proof to the classification of isocategorical groups.

Let G be a finite group. Consider G acting trivially on Vec, then $\operatorname{Rep} G = (\mathrm{Vec})^G$ and G-equivariant Vec-module categories are the same as Vec_G-module categories (see Appendix). In this subsection we will follow the notation of the Appendix.

We apply Theorem 5.2 to the case that $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Vec}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Vec_G-module category. Since extensions of Vec are pointed fusion categories, the Vec_G-module category $\mathcal{M}$ must be pointed (see Subsection 8.2) with $\mathcal{M}^G \cong \mathrm{Vec}$. Thus $\mathcal{M}^G$ must have rank one.

By [27, Theorem 3.4] (see also Proposition 8.5), pointed module categories over Vec_G are in correspondence with pairs (A, ψ), where A is a normal abelian subgroup of G and $\psi \in H^2(A, \psi)$ is Ad_G-invariant. Since $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu)^G = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G}(\mathrm{Vec}_G, \mathcal{M}(X, \mu))$, it follows from [32, Proposition 3.1] (see also Corollary 7.17) that simple objects are in correspondence with ψ-projective representations of A. Then, the rank one condition on $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu)^G$ is equivalent to the non-degeneracy of ψ, that is, $k_\psi[A]$ is a simple algebra.

Then, by Theorem 5.2, every group H such that $\mathrm{Rep}(H) \cong \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ as fusion categories can be constructed as $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G}(\mathcal{M}(A, \psi))$ (see Subsection 8.2), where A is a normal abelian subgroup of G and $\psi \in H^2(A, k^*)$ is a non-degenerated Ad_G-invariant cohomology class. This is a restatement of the main result of [8] and [4].

6. Applications to the Brauer-Picard group

6.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By Theorem 1.1 we have the following exact sequences of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K}} & \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Omega} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \simeq \mathcal{K}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} \\
1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{I}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{Cot}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}),
\end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{I}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}) = \{[(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)] \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{Cot}}((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})) : \mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{C} \text{ as } \mathcal{C}\text{-bimodules } \}$ and $\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is conjugation. The map Ω is defined by $\Omega(F) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} F)$ and $(\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K})(G) = \mathcal{S}_G = \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(.\mathcal{M})}(.\mathcal{M}, .\mathcal{M}^G)$ is the invertible $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule category associated by $\mathcal{K}$ to $G \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}))$.

Let us consider the abelian group of (isomorphism classes of) invertible objects $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ of the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$. For every $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ we have

a group homomorphism

$$s : \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}),$$

where $s_X(M) := X \otimes M$ and $\gamma_{V,M} : s_X(V \otimes M) \to V \otimes s_X(M)$ is defined by $\gamma_{V,M} := c_{X,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_M$, for all $(X, c_{X,-}) \in \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$, $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we obtain the sequence

$$1 \to \ker(s) \to \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}) \to \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{6.1}$$

Since Ω is surjective, if we prove that $\ker(\Omega) = \mathrm{Im}(s)$ then $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \ker(\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathrm{I}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$. Then the sequence (6.1) is exact.

To finish the proof we need to cheek that $\ker(\Omega) = \mathrm{Im}(s)$. Consider $F \in \ker(\Omega)$. By definition $\Omega(F) = \mathrm{id}_{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})}$ if there is an invertible $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} F \cong F$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module functors. But, every invertible $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule functor has the form $X \otimes (-)$ for a unique $X \in \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$, then $\phi \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \cong s_X$, and $F \in \ker(\Omega)$ if and only if there is $X \in \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ such that $F \cong s_X$, that is $\ker(\Omega) = \mathrm{Im}(s)$. □

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a braided fusion category. Set $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C}$. In this case, we have an inclusion of groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is braided, the map conj_M is trivial. Then, the map $\pi_1 \circ \mathcal{K}$ is injective, obtaining the desired inclusion. □

We now recall the definition of the group $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$. There are two equivalent realizations of $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$, one of the presentations is obtained by considering $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$ whose elements are equivalence classes of *quasi-trivial $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule categories*, that is $\mathcal{C}$-bimodules categories equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$ as left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories [11, Subsection 4.3]. The other realization is given by considering $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ as the group of equivalence classes of tensor autoequivalences of $\mathcal{C}$ up to pseudonatural isomorphisms [15, Subsection 3.1].

Remark 6.2. The Rosenberg-Zelinsky sequence in the case that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$ has the form

$$1 \to \mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $\pi(\sigma) = \mathcal{C}^{\sigma}$. By $\mathcal{C}^{\sigma}$ we denote the quasi-trivial $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule equals to $\mathcal{C}$ as left $\mathcal{C}$-module and with right action given by the right multiplication twisted by the tensor autoequivalence σ of $\mathcal{C}$.

The image of π is exactly the group $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ described above. Indeed, for this particular case, the exact sequences of Theorem 1.3 can be rewritten as follows:

$$1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \to \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{conj}_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Example 6.3. Let G be a finite group. Given $f \in Z^n(G, k^\times)$ and $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, we will denote by f^θ the n-cocycle in G defined by $f^\theta(\sigma_1, \cdots \sigma_n) = f(\theta(\sigma_1), \cdots \theta(\sigma_n))$, for $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in G$. This rule defines an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on $H^*(G, k^\times)$. Moreover this action factors through $\mathrm{Inn}(G)$ giving rise to an action of $\mathrm{Out}(G)$.

If $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$,

$$\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) = \{(f, \gamma_f) \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) \times C^2(G, k^\times) : \delta(\gamma_f) = \frac{\omega^f}{\omega}\}/\sim,$$

where $(f, \gamma_f) \sim (g, \gamma_g)$ if and only if $f = g$ and there is $\theta : G \to k^*$ such that $\delta(\theta) = \frac{\gamma_f}{\gamma_g}$. Thus we have the exact sequence

$$1 \to H^2(G, k^\times) \to \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) \to \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}([\omega]) \to 1 \tag{6.2}$$
$$(f, \gamma_f) \mapsto f.$$

Note that the exact sequence (6.2) splits if there is a $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}([\omega])$-invariant representative 3-cocycle of $[\omega]$. In particular if $\omega = 1$, $\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) = H^2(G, k^\times) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G)$.

By Example 5.4, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ is a G-equivariantization with respect to the G-action by conjugation and maps γ and μ.

A simple object V in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ is invertible if and only if $\dim_k(V) = 1$. Then $V = k_\rho$, with $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ and $\gamma(-, -; \rho) \in B^2(G, k^*)$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \widehat{G} \to \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)) \to \mathcal{Z}(G)^\omega \to 1,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(G)^\omega = \{\rho \in \mathcal{Z}(G) | \gamma(-, -; \rho) \in B^2(G, k^*)\}$.

The exact sequence of Remark 6.2 implies that $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) \simeq G/Z(G)^\omega$. Therefore the second exact sequence of Remark 6.2 can be rewritten as:

$$1 \to Z(G)^\omega \to G \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathrm{Ad}}} \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) \to \mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega). \tag{6.3}$$

Remark 6.4.
- In general, $Z(G)_\omega \subsetneq Z(G)$. For example, when G is an abelian group and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ is not pointed.
- If $\omega = 1$, then the exact sequence (6.3) implies that $\mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G) = H^2(G, k^*) \rtimes \mathrm{Out}(G)$.

Example 6.5. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{TY}(A, \chi, \tau)$ be the Tambara-Yamagami category associated to a finite (necessarily abelian) group A, a symmetric non-degenerate bicharacter $\chi : A \times A \to k^*$ and an element $\tau \in k$ satisfying $|A|\tau^2 = 1$, see [36]. Since by [34, Propositon 1]

$$\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}) = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(A) : \chi(\sigma(a), \sigma(b)) = \chi(a, b),\ \forall a, b \in A\},$$

thus $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}))$ is trivial. Then it follows from Remark 6.2 that

$$\mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathcal{TY}(A, \chi, \tau)) = \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{TY}(A, \chi, \tau)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{TY}(A, \chi, \tau)).$$

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Recall that, given groups G_1 and G_2, a G_1-G_2-*biset* is a set X endowed with a left G_1-action and a right G_2-action such that $h(xk) = (hx)k$, for all $h \in G_1, k \in G_2$ and $x \in X$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category and $\sigma : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a tensor functor. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule category the functors

$$\mathcal{C}^{\sigma} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} {}^{\sigma}\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}, V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} M \mapsto V \otimes M$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}^{\sigma} \to \mathcal{M}^{\sigma}, M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} V \mapsto M \otimes V$$

are $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule equivalences.

Then $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$-biset with right action $M \cdot \sigma = \mathcal{M}^{\sigma}$ and left action $\sigma \cdot \mathcal{M} = {}^{\sigma^*}\mathcal{M}$, where σ^* is a quasi-inverse of σ.

We define $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ as the set of equivalence classes of right $\mathcal{C}$-module categories $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ as tensor categories.

By [11, Proposition 4.2], we have a map

$$\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}),$$

given by forgetting the left $\mathcal{C}$-module structure. This map factorizes by the left action of the subgroup $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$, thus we have a map

$$U : \mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Note that the sets $\mathrm{Out}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ are right $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$-sets in a natural way, and U is a map of transitive $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{C})$-sets. Therefore U is bijective. The theorem is a direct consequence of the bijectivity of U. □

6.2.1. *Generalized crossed product for groups.* Let G be a finite group and $F \subseteq G$ be a subgroup. Once a set Q of simultaneous representatives of the left and right cosets of F in G is fixed, the group G can be described as a generalized crossed product as follows. The uniqueness of the factorization $G = FQ$ implies that there are well defined maps

$$\triangleright : Q \times F \to F, \qquad \triangleleft : Q \times F \to Q,$$

$$. : Q \times Q \to Q, \qquad \theta : Q \times Q \to F,$$

determined by the conditions

$$qx = (q\triangleright x)(q\triangleleft x), \qquad q \in Q,\ x \in F;$$
$$pq = \theta(p, q)p.q, \qquad p, q \in Q.$$

The set $F \times Q$ with the product

$$(u, s)(v, t) = (u(s\triangleright v)\theta(s\triangleleft v, t), (s\triangleleft v) \cdot t)$$

is a group that we will denote by $F\#_{\theta,\cdot}^{\triangleright,\triangleleft}Q$. Moreover, $F\#_{\theta,\cdot}^{\triangleright,\triangleleft}Q$ is isomorphic to G, (see [2, Proposition 2.4]).

Remark 6.6. (1) Let G be a finite groups and $F \subset G$ be a subgroup. Let us recall how to construct a set of simultaneous representatives of the left and right cosets. First, we fix a set of representatives Q of the double cosets of F in G. For $x \in Q$, let $\{s_j : j \in J_x\}$ be a set of representatives of the left cosets of $FpxFx^{-1}$ and $\{t_j | j \in J_x\}$ be a set of representatives of the right cosets of $F \cap x^{-1}Fx$ in F. Notice that $FxF = \cup_{i\in J_x} Fxt_i = \cup_{i\in J_x} s_i xF$. Then $\{s_j x t_j | x \in Q, j \in J_x\}$ is simultaneously a set of representatives for the right and left cosets.

(2) Theorem 1.4 and the previous remark provide a systematic way to reduce the calculations of the Brauer-Picard group of a fusion category to computations of $\mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ and the extra data $\theta, \triangleleft, \triangleright$ using only a set of representatives of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})/\mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$.

Example 6.7. (1) A finite group is called semisimple if its solvable radical is trivial. Every semisimple group has no non-trivial abelian normal subgroups (and the converse also is true). Let G be a finite semisimple group (*e.g.* $\mathbb{S}_n$ $(n > 4)$, non abelian simple groups) and $\omega \in H^3(G, k^*)$. Since every module category in $\mathcal{T}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ is pointed then $\mathcal{T}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) = \{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega\}$, so $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega) = \mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$.

(2) Let p be a prime number. For any $0 \neq \omega \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, k^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we have that $\mathcal{T}(\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\omega) = \{\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\omega\}$. Then

$$\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\omega) = \mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\omega) \cong \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}([\omega]).$$

(3) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \chi, \tau)$ be a non group-theoretical Tambara-Yamagami category, that is, $\chi(1,1) = e^{\frac{2\pi k}{p}}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic non-residue. It follows by [16, Proposition 5.7] that the only indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category is $\mathcal{C}$ itself. Then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \chi, \tau)\}$, hence $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \chi, \tau)) = \mathrm{Out}_\otimes(\mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\chi, \tau))$. Thus, by Example 6.5 we have that

$$\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathcal{TY}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \chi, \tau)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

7. Invertible bimodule categories over pointed fusion categories and their tensor product

The goal of this section is to describe explicitly bimodule categories over pointed fusion categories and their tensor product in order to provide all ingredients for applying Theorem 1.1 to concrete examples of group-theoretical fusion categories.

A *group-theoretical* fusion category is, by definition, a fusion category Morita equivalent to a pointed fusion category Vec_G^ω. See Appendix for more details.

The following corollary of Theorem 1.1 provides an *implicit* answer to Problem 10.1 in http://aimpl.org/fusioncat/10/.

Corollary 7.1. *Tensor functors between group-theoretical fusion categories are in correspondence with the arrows of the subcategory of* $\mathfrak{Cor}$ *whose objects are of the form* $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$*, with* $\mathcal{C}$ *a pointed fusion category.*

□

7.1. **Goursat's Lemma and bitransitive bisets.** Let G_1, G_2 be groups and X be a G_1-G_2-biset. We can regard any G_1-G_2-biset X as a left $G_1 \times G_2$-set equipped with the action given by $(h,k)\cdot x = h\cdot x\cdot k^{-1}$, for all $x \in X$, $h \in G_1, k \in G_2$. Reciprocally, any $G_1 \times G_2$-set can be regarded as a G_1-G_2-biset. It is easy to see that this rule defines an equivalence between the categories of G_1-G_2-bisets and left $G_1 \times G_2$-sets.

A G_1-G_2-biset is called *transitive* if for some (and so for every) $x \in X$, $G_1 \cdot x \cdot G_2 = X$. A G_1-G_2-biset X is transitive if and only if X is transitive as $G_1 \times G_2$-set. Then, isomorphism classes of transitive G_1-G_2-bisets are classified by conjugacy classes of subgroups of $G_1 \times G_2$.

Now, we recall the description of the subgroups of a direct product of groups known as the Goursat's Lemma. The proof is a simple exercise in group theory, see [24, Exercise 5, p. 75].

Lemma 7.2. *Let* H *be a subgroup of* $G_1 \times G_2$*. Define*

$$H_1 = \{a \in G_1 | (a,b) \in H, \text{ for some } b \in G_2\}$$
$$H_2 = \{b \in G_2 | (a,b) \in H, \text{ for some } a \in G_1\}$$
$$H_1^2 = \{a \in G_1 | (a,1) \in H\}, \quad H_2^1 = \{b \in G_2 | (1,b) \in H\}.$$

Then $H_1^2 \trianglelefteq H_1$ *and* $H_2^1 \trianglelefteq H_2$ *are normal subgroups. The map* $f_H : H_1/H_1^2 \to H_2/H_2^1$ *given by* $f_H(aH_1^2) = bH_2^1$ *is an isomorphism.*

Conversely, every subgroup $H \subset G_1 \times G_2$ *is constructed as a fiber product in the following way: let* $H_i^j \trianglelefteq H_i \subset G_i$ *be subgroups and* $f_H : H_1/H_1^2 \to H_2/H_2^1$ *an isomorphism. Then* $H = H_1 \times_{f_H} H_2 = \{(h_1,h_2) | f_H(aH_1^2) = bH_2^1\} \subset G_1 \times G_2$.

□

Definition 7.3. Let G_1 and G_2 be groups and X a G_1-G_2-biset. We will say that X is a *bitransitive biset* if X is transitive as a right G_2-set and also as a left G_1-set. A subgroup $H \subset G_1 \times G_2$ is called a *bitransitive subgroup* if $(G_1 \times G_2)/H$ is a bitransitive G_1-G_2-biset.

Obviously every bitransitive G_1-G_2-biset is transitive as $G_1 \times G_2$-biset but the conversely is not true.

Let X be a G_1-G_2-biset and $x \in X$. We define the left, right and bi-stabilizer subgroups of x as $\mathrm{Stab}_r(x) = \{g \in G_2 | xg = x\}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) = \{g \in G_1 | gx = x\}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_{bi}(x) = \{(h,k) \in G_1 \times G_2 | hxk = x\}$, respectively.

Notice that if $H = \mathrm{Stab}_{bi}(x)$ then, in the previous notation, $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) = H_1^2$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_r(x) = H_1^2$.

Remark 7.4. If X is a bitransitive G_1-G_2-set then:

(1) $|X| = |G_2|/|\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)| = |G_1|/|\mathrm{Stab}_l(x)| = |G_1||G_2|/|\mathrm{Stab}_{bi}(x)|$. In particular, $|\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)| = |\mathrm{Stab}_l(x)|$ when $|G_1| = |G_2|$.
(2) If $\mathrm{Stab}_r(x) = 1$ (or $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) = 1$) then $H_i = G_i$. Moreover, there is a unique group isomorphism $f : G_1 \to G_2$ such that $\mathrm{Stab}_{bi}(x) = \{(g, f(g))|g \in G_1\}$. This kind of bisets are called *bitorsors*.

Let X be a right transitive G_1-G_2-biset and $x \in X$. Set $H = \mathrm{Stab}_r(x)$. We can and will assume that $X = H\backslash G_2$ as right G_2-set.

Notice that every $g \in G_1$ defines a map $\widehat{g} : X \to X, y \mapsto \underline{gy}$ that is an automorphism of right G_2-sets. The left action is totally defined by the map $\widehat{(-)} : G_1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_{G_2}(X), g \mapsto \widehat{g}$. Since we are assuming that $X = H\backslash G_2$ as right G_2-set, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{G_2}(X) \cong \mathrm{N}_{G_2}(H)/H$. Thus, the map $\widehat{(-)}$ defines and is defined by a group morphism $\pi : G_1 \to \mathrm{N}_{G_2}(H)/H$.

Proposition 7.5. *Let G_1, G_2 be group and X be bitransitive G_1-G_2-biset. For any $x \in X$, the subgroups $\mathrm{Stab}_r(x) \trianglelefteq G_2$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) \trianglelefteq G_1$ are normal and the group homomorphism π induces a group isomorphism $\tilde{\pi} : G_1/\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) \to G_2/\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)$.*

Conversely, a pair of normal subgroups $N_1 \trianglelefteq G_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G_2$ and an isomorphism $\pi : G_1/N_1 \to G_2/N_2$ define a bitransitive G_1-G_2-biset.

Two triples (N_1, N_2, π) and (N_1', N_2', π') define equivalent bitransitive G_1-G_2-bisets if and only if $N_1 = N_1', N_2 = N_2'$ and there exists $b \in G_2/N_2$ such that $\pi(a) = b\pi'(a)b^{-1}$, for all $a \in G/N_1$.

Proof. From the previous discussion, a right transitive G_1-G_2-biset can be identified with a pair (H, π), where $H = \mathrm{Stab}_r(x)$ and $\pi : G_1 \to \mathrm{N}_{G_2}(H)/H$ is a group morphism. Since $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) = \ker(\pi)$, the subgroup $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x)$ is normal.

In an analogous way, $\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)$ is normal by the bitransitivity of X. The map π induces an injective homomorphism $\tilde{\pi} : G_1/\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) \to G_2/\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)$. Since X is bitransitive, $\mathrm{Im}(\pi)$ is a transitive group with respect to X. Therefore π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are surjective.

Now, given a pair of normal subgroups $N_1 \trianglelefteq G_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G_2$ and an isomorphism $\pi : G_1/N_1 \to G_2/N_2$, the bitransitive biset associated is $X = G_2/N_2$ as right G_2-set and left G_1-action given by $g_1 \cdot g_2N_2 = \pi(g_1)g_2N_2$, for all $g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2$.

If (N_1, N_2, π) and (N_1', N_2', π') define isomorphic bisets, then $N_1 = N_1', N_2 = N_2'$ since they are normal subgroup obtained as the stabilizers of any $x \in X$. Moreover, since G_2/N_2 are isomorphic as right G_1-sets, there exists $b \in G_2/N_2$ such that $\pi(a) = b\pi'(a)b^{-1}$, for all $a \in G/N_1$. □

7.2. **Preliminaries on group cohomology.** Let G be a finite group, X be a left G-set and $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$ a 3-cocycle on G.

We denote by $C^n(G, C^m(X, k^*))$ the abelian group of all maps

$$\beta : \underbrace{G \times \ldots \times G}_{n\text{-times}} \times \underbrace{X \times \ldots \times X}_{m\text{- times}} \to k^*$$

such that $\beta(\sigma_1 \ldots, \sigma_n; x_1, \ldots, x_m) = 1$ if some σ_i or x_i is 1.

We define $\delta_G : C^n(G, C^m(X, k^*)) \to C^{n+1}(G, C^m(X, k^*))$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_G(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}; x_1, \ldots, x_m) = {} & f(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1}; x_1, \ldots, x_m) \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1}; x_1, \ldots, x_m)^{(-1)^i} \\ & \times f(\sigma_1 \ldots, \sigma_n; \sigma_{n+1}x_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1}x_m)^{(-1)^{n+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that in general $C^n(G, k^*) := C^n(G, C^0(X, k^*)) \subset C^n(G, C^m(X, k^*))$ as constant functions over $X \times \cdots \times X$.

Given $f \in C^{n+1}(G, C^1(X, k^*))$, we define

$$Z^n_G(X, k^*)_f := \{\alpha \in C^n(G, C^1(X, k^*)) | \delta_G(\alpha) = f\}$$

and $B^n_G(X, k^*) = \{\delta_G(\beta) | \beta \in C^{n-1}(G, C^1(X, k^*))\}$. The elements in $Z^n_G(X, k^*)_f$ are called *f-twisted n-cocycle* and the elements in $B^n_G(X, k^*)$ are called *n-coboundaries*.

The abelian group $B^n_G(X, k^*)$ acts on $Z^n_G(X, k^*)_f$ by multiplication. The set of orbits $Z^n_G(X, k^*)_f / B^n_G(X, k^*)$ is denoted by $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f$ and two f-twisted n-cocycles in the same orbit are called cohomologous.

The following is an f-twisted version of Shapiro's Lemma.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup and consider $X := G/H$. Given $f \in C^{n+1,1}(X \rtimes G, k^*)$, the set $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f$ admits a natural free and transitive action by the abelian group $H^n(H, k^*)$. Hence, either $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f = \emptyset$ or there is a bijection between $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f$ and $H^n(H, k^*)$. This bijection depends on the choice of a particular element of $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f$.*

Proof. Since $H^n_G(X, k^*) = H^n(G, \mathrm{Ind}^G_H(k^*))$, it follows from Shapiro's Lemma that $H^n_G(X, k^*) \cong H^n(H, k^*)$. It is easy to see that $H^n_G(X, k^*)$ acts freely and transitively over $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f$ by multiplication. Therefore, $H^n(H, k^*)$ acts freely and transitively over $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f$. □

Remark 7.7. If X is a transitive G-set, then $H^n_G(X, k^*)_f = \emptyset$ if and only if $0 \neq [f|_{\mathrm{Stab}_x(G)^{\times n}}] \in H^n(\mathrm{Stab}_x(G), k^*)$, for some $x \in X$.

7.3. **Bimodule categories over pointed fusion categories.** In this subsection, we will follow the notation and the notions introduces in Appendix.

A $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category is, by definition, a left module category over

$$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1} \boxtimes (\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2})^{op} = \mathrm{Vec}_{G_1\times G_2}^{\omega_1\times\omega_2^{-1}}.$$

A explicit definition is the following:

Definition 7.8. A $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category is determined by data (X, μ_l, μ_r, μ_m), where X is a G_1-G_2-biset, (X, μ_l) is a left $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-module category, (X, μ_r) is a right $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-module category and $\mu_m : G_1 \times X \times G_2 \to k^*$ is a normalized map such that

$$\mu_r(\sigma x, \rho, \phi)\mu_m(\sigma, x, \rho\phi) = \mu_m(\sigma, x, \rho)\mu_m(\sigma, x\rho, \phi)\mu_r(x, \rho, \phi), \tag{7.1}$$

$$\mu_m(\sigma\tau, x, \phi)\mu_l(\sigma, \tau, x\phi) = \mu_l(\sigma, \tau, x)\mu_m(\sigma, \tau x, \phi)\mu_m(\tau, x, \phi), \tag{7.2}$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G_1, \rho, \phi \in G_2, x \in X$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ the $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category associated to (X, μ_l, μ_r, μ_m).

By [11, Proposition 4.2], if $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ is an invertible $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$- $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category then X is a bitransitive G_1-G_2-biset.

Let X be a G_1-G_2-biset and $\omega_i \in Z^3(G_i, k^*)$. By Proposition 7.6, there is a bijective correspondence between elements in $Z^2_{G_1\times G_2}(X, k^*)_{\omega_1\times\omega_2^{-1}}$ and all possible triples (μ_l, μ_r, μ_m) such that (X, μ_l, μ_r, μ_m) is a $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$- $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category.

If X is bitransitive with associated data (N_1, N_2, f), the bi-stabilizer of X is $G_1 \times_f G_2 = \{(g_1, g_2)|f(g_1N_1) = g_2N_2\}$. By Proposition 7.6, when $H^2_{G_1\times G_2}(X, k^*)_{\omega_1\times\omega_2^{-1}} \neq \emptyset$ there is a bijective correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of bimodule categories with underlying G_1-G_2-biset X and the Schur multiplier $H^2(G_1 \times_f G_2, k^*)$.

7.4. **Parametrization of invertible bimodule categories over pointed fusion categories and braided equivalence of twisted Drinfeld doubles.**

Lemma 7.9. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite groups of the same order and $\omega_i \in Z^3(G_i, k^*)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ be a $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category, with X a bitransitive set.*

For a fix $x \in X$, every $\mu = [(\mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)] \in H^2_{G_1\times G_2}(X, k^*)_{\omega_1\times\omega_2^{-1}}$ defines a group morphism

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu : \mathrm{Stab}_l(x) &\to H^1_{G_2}(X, k^*) \\ n_1 &\mapsto \Big[(y, g_2) \mapsto \mu_m(n_1, y, g_2)\Big], \end{aligned}$$

and induces a pairing

$$\mu_m(-,x,-) : \mathrm{Stab}_l(x) \times \mathrm{Stab}_r(x) \to k^* \\ (n_1, n_2) \mapsto \mu_m(n_1, x, n_2).$$

Moreover, L_μ is a group isomorphism if and only if $\mu_m(-,x,-)$ is non-degenerated. In particular, $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x)$ *and* $\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)$ *are normal abelian subgroups when L_μ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It follows from equation (7.1) that $(x, n_2) \mapsto \mu_m(n_1, x, n_2)$ is a 1-cocycle in $Z^1_{G_2}(X, k^*)$. By equation (7.2), $\mu_m(n_1 n_1', -, -)$ is cohomologous to $\mu_m(n_1, -, -) \times \mu_m(n_1', -, -)$, for all $n_1, n_1' \in \mathrm{Stab}_l(x)$.

By Shapiro's Lemma, L_μ is completely determined by the group morphism $\mathrm{Stab}_l(x) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Stab}_r(x), k^*), n_1 \mapsto \mu_m(n_1, x, -)$.

From Remark 7.4 (1), we have that $|\mathrm{Stab}_l(x)| = |\mathrm{Stab}_r(x)|$. In this way, $\mu_m(-,x,-)$ defines a pairing and L_μ is an isomorphism if and only if $\mu_m(-,x,-)$ is non-degenerated. □

The next theorem is a generalization of Corollary 3.6.3 of [3] and Proposition 5.2 of [31].

Theorem 7.10. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite groups and $\omega_i \in Z^3(G_i, k^*)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ be a $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category. Then, the bimodule $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ is invertible if and only if*

- *X is bitransitive, and*
- *$\mu_m(-,x,-)$ is non-degenerated.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ is invertible then $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$ and $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$ are Morita equivalent. Thus, by [10, Theorem 2.15], $|G_1| = |G_2|$. It follows from [11, Proposition 4.2] that if $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ is an invertible $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$- $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category then X is bitransitive.

Again by [11, Proposition 4.2], the bimodule $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_r, \mu_m)$ is invertible if and only if the group morphism induced by left multiplication of objects of $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$

$$L : G_1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l))$$

is an isomorphism.

Now we will assume that X is bitransitive with data (N_1, N_2, f). Then we can suppose that $X = G_2/N_2$ with action $g_1 \overline{a} g_2 = f(g_1)\overline{a g_2}$, for $g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2, \overline{a} \in X$.

Considering the exact sequence (8.1) in this case, we have that:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1/N_1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L_\mu} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{G_2}(X,k^*) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_2}_{G_2}}(\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l)) & \longrightarrow & G_2/N_2 & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

Then, if X is bitransitive, $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l,\mu_r,\mu_m)$ is invertible if and only if the group morphism $L_\mu : N_1 \to H^1_{G_2}(X,k^*)$ is an isomorphism. Thus, by Lemma 7.9, $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l,\mu_r\mu_m)$ is invertible if and only if $\mu(-,x-)$ is non-degenerated. □

Remark 7.11. Let $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l,\mu_r,\mu_m)$ be a $\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_1}_{G_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_2}_{G_2}$-bimodule category with X bitransitive G_1-G_2-biste. Let (N_1,N_2,f) be the data associated to the bitransitive G_1-G_2-biset X. Then, the cohomology class of $\omega_1 \times \omega_2^{-1}|_{G_1\times_f G_2}$ is trivial and we can assume that $\omega_1 \times \omega_2^{-1}|_{G_1\times_f G_2} = 1$.

There is a canonical correspondence between the set $H^2_{G_1\times G_2}(X,k^*)_{\omega_1\times\omega_2^{-1}}$ and the set $H^2(G_1 \times_f G_2)$. Moreover, an element $\psi \in Z^2(G_1 \times_f G_2, k^*)$ defines an invertible bimodule category if and only if the pairing $\psi(-|-) : N_1 \times N_2 \to k^*$ is non-degenerated.

Corollary 7.12. *Let G_1 and G_2 be finite groups and $\omega_i \in Z^3(G_i,k^*)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l,\mu_r,\mu_m)$ be an invertible $\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_1}_{G_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_2}_{G_2}$-bimodule category with (N_1,N_2,f) the data associated to the bitransitve biset X. Then*

- *The subgroups $N_i \trianglelefteq G_i$ are normal and abelian.*
- *There is a group isomorphism $N_1 \cong N_2$.*
- *$\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_r)$ are pointed module categories (see Definition 8.4).*

□

Remark 7.13. Let G_1 and G_2 be finite groups and $\omega_i \in Z^3(G_i,k^*)$. There is a bijective correspondence between *braided* tensor equivalences from $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_1}_{G_1})$ to $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_2}_{G_2})$ and invertible $\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_1}_{G_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega_2}_{G_2}$-bimodule categories, see [11, Theorem 1.1.]. Then, Theorem 7.10 gives a parametrization of the braided tensor equivalences of the category of representations of twisted Drinfeld modules. This is a generalization of [3]

Corollary 7.14. *There is a correspondence between elements in $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathrm{Vec}^{\omega}_{G})$ and equivalence classes of quadruples (A_1,A_2,f,μ), where A_1 and A_2 are normal abelian isomorphic subgroups of G, $f : G/A_1 \to G/A_2$ is a group isomorphism and $\mu \in Z^2_{G\times G}(X,k^*)_{\omega\times\omega^{-1}}$ such that the pairing $\mu_m(-,x,-) : A_1 \times A_2 \to k^*$ is non-degenerated, where X is the bitransitive G-biset associated to (A_1,A_2,f).*

Two quadruples (A_1, A_2, f, μ) *and* (A_1', A_2', f', μ') *are equivalent if* $A_1 = A_1'$, $A_2 = A_2'$ *and there is* $b \in G/A_2$ *such that* $f(a) = bf'(a)b^{-1}$, *for all* $a \in G/A_1$ *and* $[\mu] = [\mu'^b] \in H^2_{G\times G}(X, k^*)_{\omega\times\omega^{-1}}$.

□

7.5. **Tensor product of module categories over pointed fusion categories.**

7.5.1. *Equivariantization of semisimple categories.* Let $\mathcal{M}(X, \alpha)$ be a left Vec_G-module category, see Appendix. Let k^X be the algebra of functions from X to k. We will denote by $\{e_x\}_{x\in X}$ the basis of k^X formed by the orthogonal primitive idempotents.

We define the G-crossed product algebra $G\#_\alpha k^X$, with basis given by $\{g\#e_x\}_{x\in X, g\in G}$ and multiplication

$$(g\#e_s)(h\#e_t) = gh\#\delta_{s,ht}\alpha(g, h; t)e_t.$$

The category of right $G\#_\alpha k^X$-modules is exactly the category $\mathcal{M}(X, \alpha)^G$ of G-equivariant objects. In fact, if V is a $G\#_\alpha k^X$-module then $V = \oplus_{x\in X} V_x$, where $V_x = \{v(1\#e_x) | v \in V\}$, and the linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma, x) : V_{\sigma x} &\to V_x \\ v &\mapsto v(\sigma\#e_x), \end{aligned}$$

satisfy

$$f(\tau, x) \circ f(\sigma, \tau x) = \alpha(\sigma, \tau; x) f(\sigma\tau, x),$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G, x \in X$. Thus, $(V, f_\sigma := \oplus_{x\in X} f(\sigma, x) : \sigma \otimes V \to V)_{\sigma\in G}$ is an object in $\mathcal{M}(X, \alpha)^G$.

Given $x \in X$, we will denote by $\mathcal{O}(x)$ the orbit of x in X.

If $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a set of representatives of the orbits, we have

$$G\#_\alpha k^X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} G\#_\alpha k^{\mathcal{O}(x_i)},$$

and $G\#_\alpha k^{\mathcal{O}(x_i)}$ are mutually orthogonal bilateral ideals.

In order to describe the simple objects in $\mathcal{M}(X, \alpha)^G = \mathrm{Rep}(G\#_\alpha k^X)$, we can assume that $X = \mathcal{O}(x)$, for some $x \in X$.

The map $\alpha_x := \alpha(-, -, x) : \mathrm{Stab}(x) \times \mathrm{Stab}(x) \to k^*$ is a 2-cocycle. As an easy application of Clifford theory for crossed products (see [22]), there is an equivalence between the category of right modules over the twisted group algebra $k_{\alpha_x}[\mathrm{Stab}(x)]$ and the category of right modules over $G\#_\alpha k^X$. If U is a right $k_{\alpha_x}[\mathrm{Stab}(x)]$-module, the action $u(e_y\#\sigma) = \delta_{y,x} u \cdot \sigma$ defines a right $\mathrm{Stab}(x)\#_\alpha k^X$-module structure on U

and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{Stab}(x)\#_\alpha k^X}^{G\#_\alpha k^X}(U)$ is the associated $G\#_\alpha k^X$-module. Conversely, if V is a $G\#_\alpha k^X$-module then V_x is a $k_{\alpha_x}[\mathrm{Stab}(x)]$-module with action given by $(v_x)g = (v_x)g\#e_x$, for all $v_x \in V_x, g \in \mathrm{Stab}(x)$.

7.5.2. *Tensor product of module categories over pointed fusion categories as an equivariantization.* Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category and $\mathcal{M}$ a $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule category. The following definition was given in [17].

The *center* of $\mathcal{M}$ is the category $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ where objects are pairs (M, γ), with M an object of $\mathcal{M}$ and

$$\gamma = \{\gamma_X : X \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes X\}_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \tag{7.3}$$

a natural family of isomorphisms making the following diagram commutative:
(7.4)



where α denotes the corresponding associativity constraint in $\mathcal{M}$.

The family of natural isomorphisms (7.3) is called a *central structure* of an object $M \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$.

If $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_m, \mu_r)$ is a Vec_G^ω-bimodule category then a central structure on $V = \oplus_{x\in X} V_x$ is given by a family of linear isomorphism $\gamma(g, x) : V_{gx} \to V_{xg}$ such that

$$\mu_m(\sigma, x, \tau)\gamma(\sigma\tau, x) = \mu_l(\sigma, \tau; x)\mu_r(x; \sigma, \tau)\gamma(\sigma, x) \circ \gamma(\tau, x),$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G, x \in X$.

Since $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_m, \mu_r)$ is a left $\mathrm{Vec}_{G\times G}^{\omega\times\omega^{-1}}$-module category and the diagonal inclusion $\Delta : \mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathrm{Vec}_{G\times G}^{\omega\times\omega^{-1}}$ is a strict tensor functor, then $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_m, \mu_r)$ is a left Vec_G-module category with action $g \cdot x := gxg^{-1}$ and 2-cocycle

$$\alpha(a, b; x) := \frac{\mu_m(a, bx, b^{-1})\mu_l(a, b; x)}{\mu_r(abx; b^{-1}, a^{-1})},$$

for all $a, b \in G, x \in X$.

Proposition 7.15. *The category $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_m, \mu_r)^G$ is canonically equivalent to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_l, \mu_m, \mu_r))$*

Proof. If $V = \oplus_{x\in G} V_x$ with $\{f(g,x) : V_{gxg^{-1}} \to V_x\}_{x\in X, g\in G}$ is an object in $\mathcal{M}(\mu_l, \mu_m, \mu_r)^G$ then a central structure on V is defined by the composition

$$g \otimes V_x = (g \otimes V_x) \otimes (g^{-1} \otimes g) \xrightarrow{\omega(gx,g^{-1},g)^{-1}} V_{gxg^{-1}} \otimes g \xrightarrow{f(g,x)\otimes g} V_x \otimes g = V_{xg}.$$

Conversely, if $\gamma(g,x) : V_{gx} \to V_{xg}$ is a central structure on V then the map $f(g,x) = \omega(gx, g^{-1}, g)\gamma(g,x) \otimes g^{-1}$ defines a G-equivariant structure on V. □

Next result describes the tensor product of bimodule categories in terms of an equivarization of categories.

Theorem 7.16. *Let $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu^X)$ be a right Vec_G^ω-module category and $\mathcal{M}(Y, \mu^Y)$ be a left Vec_G^ω-module category, then $\mathcal{M}(X \times Y, \mu^Y, 1, \mu^X)$ is a Vec_G^ω-bimodule category and*

$$\mathcal{M}(X \times Y, \mu^Y, 1, \mu^X)^G = \mathcal{M}(X, \mu^X) \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega} \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu^Y),$$

with Vec_G^ω-balanced bifunctor

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{k^{X\times Y}}^{G\#k^{X\times Y}}(-) : \mathcal{M}(X, \mu^X) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu^Y) \to \mathcal{M}(X \times Y, \mu^Y, 1, \mu^X)^G$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 7.15 and [11, Proposition 3.8]. □

The following corollary gives an alternative description of the simple objects of

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu^X), \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu^Y))$$

given by Ostrik in [32, Proposition 3.1].

Corollary 7.17. *Let $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X), \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y)$ be left Vec_G^ω-module categories. Let $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a set of representatives of the orbits of G in $X \times Y$.*

There is a bijective correspondence between simple objects of

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X), \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y))$$

and irreducible representations of $k_{\alpha_{(x_i,y_i)}}[\mathrm{Stab}_G((x_i, y_i))]$, where

$$\alpha_{(x_i,y_i)}(g,h) = \mu_X(g,h;x_i)\mu_Y(g,h;y_i),$$

for all $g, h \in \mathrm{Stab}_G((x_i, y_i))$.

Proof. By [11, Proposition 3.2] we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X), \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y)) &\cong \mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X)^{op} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega} \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y) \\ &\cong \big(\mathcal{M}(X^{op}, \mu_X^{op}) \boxtimes \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y)\big)^G,\end{aligned}$$

where X^{op} denotes the right G-set X with action $xg := g^{-1}x$ and $\mu_X^{op}(x,g,h) := \mu_X(h^{-1}, g^{-1}; x)^{-1}$, for all $g, h \in G, x \in X$.

By Proposition 7.16, the simple objects over $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_X),\mathcal{M}(Y,\mu_Y))$ are in correspondence with simple modules over $G\#_\alpha k^{X\times Y}$, where G acts on $X\times Y$ by $g(x,y)=(gx,gy)$ and the 2-cocycle is given by

$$\alpha(g,h;(x,y))=\mu_X(g,h,ghx)\mu_Y(g,h,y),$$

for all $g,h\in G, x,\in X, y\in Y$.

It follows from the discussion in Subsection 7.5.1 that if $\{(x_i,y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a set of representatives of the orbits of G in $X\times Y$ there is a bijective correspondence between simple objects of $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_X),\mathcal{M}(Y,\mu_Y))$ and simple modules over $k_{\alpha_{(x_i,y_i)}}[\mathrm{Stab}((x_i,y_i))]$, for all $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. □

Remark 7.18. (1) Suppose that X and Y are transitive G-sets with $X=G/H_1$ and $Y=G/H_2$. Then G-orbits of $X\times Y$ are in correspondence with (H_1,H_2)-double cosets. If $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a set of representatives of the double cosets, the associated stabilizer is $H_1\cap g_iH_2g_i^{-1}$. Ostrik's classification of simple objects of $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_X),\mathcal{M}(Y,\mu_Y))$ can be recovered in this way, [32, Proposition 3.2].

(2) Theorem 7.16 also gives a description of the tensor product of bimodule categories over pointed fusion categories.

If $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l^X,\mu_m^X,\mu_r^X)$ is a $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_1}^{\omega_1}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-bimodule category and $\mathcal{M}(Y,\mu_l^Y,\mu_m^Y,\mu_r^Y)$ is a $\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}$-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G_3}^{\omega_3}$-bimodule category then the tensor product $\mathcal{M}(X,\mu_l^X,\mu_m^X,\mu_r^X)\boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G_2}^{\omega_2}}\mathcal{M}(Y,\mu_l^Y,\mu_m^Y,\mu_r^Y)\cong\mathrm{Rep}(G_2\#k^{X\times Y})$. The set $\mathrm{Spec}(G_2\#k^{X\times Y})$ of isomorphism classes of simple modules is the G_1-G_3-biset associated to the tensor product.

The cohomological data could be calculated fixing a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of the simple modules of $G_2\#k^{X\times Y}$.

Ostrik's description of fiber functors over $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega;X,\alpha_X)$ [32, Corollary 3.1], can be reformulated using Corollary 7.17 as follows.

The fiber functors of $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega;X,\alpha_X)$ are classified by equivalence classes of Vec_G^ω-module categories $\mathcal{M}(Y,\alpha_Y)$ such that G acts transitively on $X\times Y$ and the twisted group algebra $k_{\alpha_{(x,y)}}[\mathrm{Stab_G((x,y))}]$ is simple for some pair (x,y) (and then for every pair), where $\alpha_{(x,y)}(g,h)=\mu_X(g,h,x)\mu_Y(g,h,y)$.

By Tannaka formalism there is a unique (up to isomorphism) Hopf algebra $H(G,\omega;X,\mu_X,Y,\mu_Y)$ such that $\mathrm{Corep(H(G,\omega;X,\mu_X,Y,\mu_Y))}=\mathcal{C}(G,\omega;X,\mu_X)$ and satisfies certain universal property, [21].

The Hopf algebras $H(G,\omega;X,\mu_X,Y,\mu_Y)$ are called group-theoretical and they are very important in the theory of semisimple Hopf algebra, since they include abelian extensions, twisting of groups algebras, among others.

Theorem 7.19. *Two group-theoretical Hopf algebras*

$$H(G,\omega;X,\mu_X,Y,\mu_Y)\ \textit{and}\ H(G',\omega';X',\mu_{X'},Y',\mu_{Y'})$$

are isomorphic if and only if there exists an invertible $(\mathrm{Vec}_G^{\omega}, \mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'})$*-bimodule category* $\mathcal{S}$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}} \mathcal{M}(X', \mu_{X'}) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}} \mathcal{M}(Y', \mu_{Y'}) \cong \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y)$$

as Vec_G*-module categories.*

Proof. Let $H(G, \omega; X, \mu_X, Y, \mu_Y)$ and $H(G', \omega'; X', \mu_{X'}, Y', \mu_{Y'})$ be group-theoretical Hopf algebras. By Tannaka formalism the Hopf algebras are isomorphic if and only if there is a tensor equivalence $F : \mathcal{C}(G, \omega; X, \mu_X) \to \mathcal{C}(G', \omega'; X', \mu_{X'})$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(G, \omega; X, \mu_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad F \quad} & \mathcal{C}(G', \omega'; X', \mu_{X'}) \\ & {\scriptstyle U(Y,\mu_Y)} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle U(Y',\mu_{Y'})} & \\ & \mathrm{Vec} & \end{array} \tag{7.5}$$

commutes, where $U(Y, \mu_Y)$ ($U(Y', \mu_{Y'})$, respectively) is the fiber functor of $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega; X, \mu_X)$ ($\mathcal{C}(G', \omega'; X', \mu_{X'})$, respectively) associated to the left (right, respectively) rank one module category $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^{\omega}}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X), \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y))$ ($\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}}(\mathcal{M}(X', \mu_{X'}), \mathcal{M}(Y', \mu_{Y'}))$, respectively).

By Proposition 5.1, tensor equivalences from $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega; X, \mu_X)$ to $\mathcal{C}(G', \omega'; X', \mu_{X'})$ are in correspondence with $(\mathrm{Vec}_G^{\omega}, \mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'})$-bimodule categories $\mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}} \mathcal{M}(X', \mu_{X'}) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, \mu_X)$$

as Vec_G-module categories.

By Theorem 1.1, the diagram (7.5) commutes if and only if

$$\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}} \mathcal{M}(Y', \mu_{Y'}) \cong \mathcal{M}(Y, \mu_Y)$$

as Vec_G-module categories.

□

8. Appendix: Module categories over pointed fusion categories

Recall that a fusion category is called *group-theoretical* if it is Morita equivalent to a pointed fusion category. See [32, 10] for more details about group-theoretical fusion categories.

In this appendix, we use the theory of G-sets to give alternative descriptions to the characterization of indecomposable module categories over pointed fusion categories [33], group-theoretical fusion categories [10] and pointed module categories [27], using the theory of G-sets. These alternative descriptions are useful, for example, to describe

tensor products of bimodule categories (see Section 7). We will follow notation of Subsection 7.2.

8.1. **The 2-category of left module categories over** Vec_G^ω**.** In this subsection, we will provide an explicit description of the 2-category $\mathfrak{M}_l(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$, where objects are left module categories over Vec_G^ω, 1-cells are Vec_G^ω-module functors and 2-cells are Vec_G^ω-linear natural isomorphisms.

We will fix a finite group G and a 3-cocycle $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$, that is a function $\omega : G \times G \times G \to k^*$ such that

$$\omega(\sigma\tau, \rho, \phi)\omega(\sigma, \tau, \rho\phi) = \omega(\sigma, \tau, \rho)\omega(\sigma, \tau\rho, \phi)\omega(\tau, \rho, \phi),$$

for all $\sigma, \tau, \rho, \phi \in G$.

We define the 2-category of finite (G, ω)-sets with twist as follows:

(1) Objects are pairs (X, α), where X is a finite left G-set and $\alpha \in Z^2_G(X, k^*)_\omega$. They will be called finite (G, ω)-*sets with twist*.

(2) Let (X, α_X), (Y, α_Y) be finite (G, ω)-sets with twist. A 1-cell from (X, α_X) to (Y, α_Y), also called a G-*equivariant map*, is a pair (L, β),

$$(X, \alpha_X) \xrightarrow{(L,\beta)} (Y, \alpha_Y) \ ,$$

where

- $L : X \to Y$ is a morphism of left G-sets,
- $\beta \in C^1(G, C^1(X, k^*))$ such that $\delta_G(\beta) = L^*(\alpha_Y)(\alpha_X)^{-1}$, that is a map

$$\beta : G \times X \to k^*$$

such that

$$\beta(\tau; x)\beta(\sigma\tau; x)^{-1}\beta(\sigma; \tau x) = \alpha_Y(\sigma, \tau; L(x))\alpha_X(\sigma, \tau; , x)^{-1},$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G, x \in X$.

(3) Given two 1-cells $(L, \beta), (L', \beta') : (X, \alpha_X) \to (Y, \alpha_Y)$, a 2-cell is a map $\theta : (L, \beta) \Rightarrow (L', \beta')$ such that $\delta_G(\theta) = \beta'\beta^{-1}$, that is $\theta : X \to k^*$ such that

$$\theta(x)\theta(\sigma x)^{-1} = \beta'(\sigma; x)\beta(\sigma; x)^{-1},$$

for all $\sigma \in G, x \in X$.

Nowe define the composition of 1-cells and 2-cells.

Let $(F, \beta_F) : (X, \alpha_X) \to (Y, \alpha_Y)$ and $(K, \beta_K) : (Y, \alpha_Y) \to (Z, \alpha_Z)$ be two 1-cells. Their composition is defined by

$$(K, \beta_K) \circ (F, \beta_F) = (K \circ F, F^*(\beta_K)\beta_F) : (X, \alpha_X) \to (Z, \alpha_Z).$$

If $\theta : (L, \beta) \Rightarrow (L', \beta')$ and $\theta' : (L', \beta') \Rightarrow (L'', \beta'')$ are 2-cells, their composition is given by the product of the maps, namely

$$\theta' \circ \theta := \theta'\theta : (L, \beta) \Rightarrow (L'', \beta'').$$

Given a twisted (G, ω)-set (X, μ), we can associate to it a left Vec_G^ω-module category $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu)$. As k-linear $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu)$ is the category of X-graded vector spaces. The Vec_G^ω-action is the following

$$\otimes : \mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega \boxtimes \mathcal{M}(X, \mu) \to \mathcal{M}(X, \mu)$$
$$k_\sigma \boxtimes k_x \mapsto k_{\sigma x},$$

and associativity constraints

$$\mu_{\sigma,\tau,x} = \mu(\sigma, \tau, x)\, \mathrm{id}_{k_{(\sigma\tau)x}} : (k_\sigma \otimes k_\tau) \otimes k_x \to k_\sigma \otimes (k_\tau \otimes k_x),$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G, x \in X$.

A straightforward calculation implies the following result.

Proposition 8.1. *The 2-category of twisted (G, ω)-sets is biequivalent to the 2-category $\mathfrak{M}_l(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ of left module categories over Vec_G^ω. Moreover, the module category $\mathcal{M}(X, \mu)$ is indecomposable if and only if X is a transitive G-set.*

□

In the literature group-theoretical fusion categories are usually parameterized by data (G, ω, H, ψ), where G is a finite group, ω is a 3-cocycle in G, $H \subset G$ is a subgroup of G and $\psi \in C^2(H, k^*)$ such that $\delta(\psi) = \omega|_{H^{\times 3}}$. The group theoretical fusion category $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, H, \psi)$ is realized as the category of $k_\psi[H]$-bimodules in Vec_G^ω, see [32].

There is also an alternative description of group-theoretical fusion categories in terms of G-sets. Explicitely, group-theoretical fusion categories can be parameterized by data (G, ω, X, μ), where G is a finite group, $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$, X is a transitive left G-set and $\mu \in Z^2_G(X, k^*)_\omega$. We will denote by $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, X, \mu) := \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X, \mu))$ the group-theoretical fusion category associated to these data.

Given (G, ω, X, μ) and an element $x \in X$, the subgroup $H := \mathrm{Stab}_x(G)$ and the 2-cochain $\psi(h, h') := \mu(h, h', x)$ define a data (G, ω, H, ψ) such that $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, X, \mu) \cong \mathcal{C}(G, \omega, H, \psi)$ as fusion categories.

The group $\mathrm{BrPic}(\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega)$ acts on the set of equivalence classes of indecomposable Vec_G^ω-module categories. The following proposition shows that oribts of this action correspond to equivalence classes of group-theoretical fusion categories over Vec_G^ω.

Corollary 8.2. *The fusion category $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, X, \mu)$ is tensor equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(G', \omega', X', \mu')$ if and only if there is an invertible Vec_G^ω-$\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}$-bimodule category $\mathcal{S}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{S} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_{G'}^{\omega'}} \mathcal{M}(X', \alpha') \cong \mathcal{M}(X, \mu)$$

as Vec_G^ω*-module categories.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 5.1. □

8.2. **Pointed module categories over** Vec_G^ω**.** Let $\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha)$ be a left Vec_G^ω-module category.

The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha))$ is the group $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,X,\mu))$ of isomorphism classes of invertible objects of $\mathcal{C}(G,\omega,X,\mu)$.

The group $\mathrm{Aut}_G(X)$ of G-automorphism of X acts naturally on $H^2_G(X,k^*)_\omega$. We will denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_G(X,[\alpha])\subset \mathrm{Aut}_G(X)$ the stabilizer of $[\alpha]\in H^2_G(X,k^*)_\omega$.

The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha))$ fits into the exact sequence

$$1\to H^1_G(X,k^*)\to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha))\to \mathrm{Aut}_G(X,[\alpha])\to 1. \tag{8.1}$$

Remark 8.3. When X is a transitive G-set, Shapiro's Lemma defines a group isomorphism $H^1_G(X,k^*)\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Stab}(x),k^*)$ and also $\mathrm{Aut}_G(X)\cong N_G(\mathrm{Stab}(x))/\mathrm{Stab}(x)$, for any $x\in X$.

Definition 8.4. [27] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category. A left $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ is called *pointed* if the dual category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is pointed.

The following proposition gives an alternative but equivalent description of pointed module categories to the one given in [27].

Proposition 8.5. *An indecomposable* Vec_G^ω*-module category* $\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha)$ *is pointed if and only if*

- $[\alpha]$ *is invariant under the action of* $\mathrm{Aut}_G(X)$*.*
- *The stabilizer of* X *is a normal and abelian subgroup of* G*.*

Proof. Let F be the stabilizer of a point $x\in X$. Then $H^1_G(X,k^*)\cong \mathrm{Hom}(F,k^*)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_G(X)\cong N_G(F)/F$, see Remark 8.3.

From the exactness of the sequence (8.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|\,\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha))| &= |H^1_G(X,k^*)||\,\mathrm{Aut}_G(X,[\alpha])|\\ &\le |\,\mathrm{Hom}(F,k^*)||\,\mathrm{Aut}_G(X)|\\ &\le |F||N_G(F)/F| = |N_G(F)|\\ &\le |G|.\end{aligned}$$

Since the Frobenius-Perron dimension of a fusion category is invariant under categorical Morita equivalence [10, Theorem 2.15], the module category $\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha)$ is pointed if and only if

$$|\,\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Vec}_G^\omega}(\mathcal{M}(X,\alpha))| = |G|.$$

The equality is equivalent to $|\operatorname{Hom}(F, k^*)| = |F|$, $|N_G(F)| = |G|$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_G(X) = \operatorname{Aut}_G(X, [\alpha])$. Then F must be abelian and normal and $[\alpha]$ invariant by $\operatorname{Aut}_G(X)$. The converse also follows from the exact sequence (8.1). □

References

[1] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov, Jr. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, volume 21 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[2] E. Beggs. Making non-trivially associated tensor categories from left coset representatives. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 177(1):5–41, 2003.

[3] A. Davydov. Modular invariants for group-theoretical modular data. I. *J. Algebra*, 323(5):1321–1348, 2010.

[4] A. A. Davydov. Galois algebras and monoidal functors between categories of representations of finite groups. *J. Algebra*, 244(1):273–301, 2001.

[5] P. Deligne. Catégories tannakiennes. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, volume 87 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 111–195. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[6] R. Dijkgraaf, V. Pasquier, and P. Roche. Quasi Hopf algebras, group cohomology and orbifold models. *Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl.*, 18B:60–72 (1991), 1990. Recent advances in field theory (Annecy-le-Vieux, 1990).

[7] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. On braided fusion categories. I. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 16(1):1–119, 2010.

[8] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki. Isocategorical groups. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (2):59–76, 2001.

[9] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

[10] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. On fusion categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(2):581–642, 2005.

[11] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. Fusion categories and homotopy theory. *Quantum Topol.*, 1(3):209–273, 2010. With an appendix by Ehud Meir.

[12] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories. *Adv. Math.*, 226(1):176–205, 2011.

[13] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik. Finite tensor categories. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 4(3):627–654, 782–783, 2004.

[14] C. Galindo. Clifford theory for tensor categories. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 83(1):57–78, 2011.

[15] C. Galindo. Crossed product tensor categories. *J. Algebra*, 337:233–252, 2011.

[16] C. Galindo. Clifford theory for graded fusion categories. *Israel J. Math.*, 192(2):841–867, 2012.

[17] S. Gelaki, D. Naidu, and D. Nikshych. Centers of graded fusion categories. *Algebra Number Theory*, 3(8):959–990, 2009.

[18] J. Greenough. Monoidal 2-structure of bimodule categories. *J. Algebra*, 324(8):1818–1859, 2010.

[19] P. Grossman and N. Snyder. The Brauer-Picard group of the Asaeda-Haagerup fusion categories. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(4):2289–2331, 2016.

[20] M. Izumi and H. Kosaki. On a subfactor analogue of the second cohomology. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 14(7-8):733–757, 2002. Dedicated to Professor Huzihiro Araki on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

[21] A. Joyal and R. Street. An introduction to Tannaka duality and quantum groups. In *Category theory (Como, 1990)*, volume 1488 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 413–492. Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[22] G. Karpilovsky. *Clifford theory for group representations*, volume 156 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 125.
[23] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*, volume 155 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[24] S. Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.
[25] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
[26] M. Mombelli. The Brauer-Picard group of the representation category of finite supergroup algebras. *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina*, 55(1):83–117, 2014.
[27] D. Naidu. Categorical Morita equivalence for group-theoretical categories. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(11):3544–3565, 2007.
[28] D. Naidu and D. Nikshych. Lagrangian subcategories and braided tensor equivalences of twisted quantum doubles of finite groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 279(3):845–872, 2008.
[29] D. Nikshych. Non-group-theoretical semisimple Hopf algebras from group actions on fusion categories. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 14(1):145–161, 2008.
[30] D. Nikshych. Morita equivalence methods in classification of fusion categories. In *Hopf algebras and tensor categories*, volume 585 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 289–325. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
[31] D. Nikshych and B. Riepel. Categorical Lagrangian Grassmannians and Brauer-Picard groups of pointed fusion categories. *J. Algebra*, 411:191–214, 2014.
[32] V. Ostrik. Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (27):1507–1520, 2003.
[33] V. Ostrik. Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants. *Transform. Groups*, 8(2):177–206, 2003.
[34] D. Tambara. Representations of tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for abelian groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:29–60, 2000.
[35] D. Tambara. Invariants and semi-direct products for finite group actions on tensor categories. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 53(2):429–456, 2001.
[36] D. Tambara and S. Yamagami. Tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for finite abelian groups. *J. Algebra*, 209(2):692–707, 1998.

E-mail address: cn.galindo1116@uniandes.edu.co

Departamento de Matematicas, Universidad de los Andes, Carrera 1 N. 18A -10, Bogotá, Colombia

E-mail address: julia@math.tamu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX U.S.A.